

Lower Thames Crossing

7.9 Transport Assessment (Tracked changes version)

APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 7

DATE: <u>September</u> 2023 DEADLINE: <u>4</u>,



Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9

VERSION: 3,0

Deleted: 2

Volume 7

Revision History

| Version | Date | Submitted at |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 1.0 | 31 October 2022 | DCO application |
| 2.0 | 24 August 2023 | Deadline 3 |
| <u>3.0</u> | <u>19 September 2023</u> | Deadline 4 |

i

Lower Thames Crossing

7.9 Transport Assessment (Tracked changes version)

List of contents

Page number

| 1 | Exec | cutive summary | 1 |
|------------|------------|---|------------------|
| | <u>1.2</u> | Assessment tools and methodology | . <u></u> 1 |
| | 1.3 | Planning policy and guidance | 2 |
| | <u>1.4</u> | Operational assessment | <u></u> 4 |
| | 1.5 | Construction assessment | <u></u> 6 |
| 2 | Intro | oduction | 8 |
| | <u>2.1</u> | Purpose of this document | 8 |
| | 2.2 | Relationship with other application documents | <u></u> 10 |
| | 2.3 | Structure of this document | <u></u> 10 |
| 3 | The | Project | 12 |
| | <u>3.1</u> | Project description | <u></u> 12 |
| 4 | Polic | cy and guidance | 18 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | <u></u> 18 |
| | 4.2 | National policy | <u></u> 18 |
| | 4.3 | Regional and local policy | 22 |
| | <u>4.4</u> | Guidance | 29 |
| | 4.5 | Feedback on the Environmental Scoping Report | <u></u> 30 |
| | 4.6 | Feedback from consultations | 32 |
| | 4.7 | Technical engagement | <u></u> 35 |
| | 4.8 | Project response to consultation and engagement | 35 |
| 5 | Meth | nodology | <mark></mark> 36 |
| | 5.1 | Assessment methodology | 36 |
| | 5.2 | Assessment tools | <u></u> 36 |
| | 5.3 | Baseline assessment | <u></u> 38 |
| | <u>5.4</u> | Operational assessment | <u></u> 38 |
| | 5.5 | Construction assessment | <u></u> 39 |
| | 5.6 | Safety review | <u></u> 40 |
| | 5.7 | Lower Thames Area Model | <u></u> 40 |
| | <u>5.8</u> | Summary | <u></u> 53 |
| App DAT | | ctorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 zument Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright @ ber 2023 II National Highways Limited – all rights re | |

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 Transport Assessment | |
|--|----------|
| (Tracked changes version) | Volume 7 |

| 6 | Base | line assessment | <mark></mark> 54 |
|-------------------|-------------|--|------------------|
| | <u>6.1</u> | Introduction | <u></u> 54 |
| | 6.2 | Highways | <u></u> 54 |
| | 6.3 | Road descriptions | <u></u> 55 |
| 6.4 Traffic flows | | | <u></u> 56 |
| | 6.5 | Volume/Capacity | <u></u> 60 |
| | 6.6 | Highway conditions near junctions with the Project | <u></u> 64 |
| | 6.7 | Railways | <u></u> 86 |
| | 6.8 | Waterways and canals | <u></u> 90 |
| | 6.9 | Bus and coach networks | <u></u> 90 |
| | <u>6.10</u> | Network for walkers, cyclists and horse riders | <u></u> 95 |
| | <u>6.11</u> | WCH surveys | 110 |
| 7 | Oper | ational assessment | 111 |
| | 7.1 | Introduction | 111 |
| | 7.2 | Traffic on the Project mainline | 112 |
| | 7.3 | Traffic on the Project junctions | 113 |
| | 7.4 | Changes in flow on the river crossings | 130 |
| | 7.5 | Traffic forecasts for the wider road network | 133 |
| | 7.6 | Scale of impacts | 151 |
| | 7.7 | Highway journey times | 166 |
| | 7.8 | Highway diversions, realignments and closures | 180 |
| | 7.9 | Resilience and reliability | 180 |
| | 7.10 | Abnormal load routes | 181 |
| | 7.11 | Impacts on public transport | 181 |
| | 7.12 | Impacts on walkers, cyclists and horse riders | 188 |
| | | Impacts on river users | 198 |
| | 7.14 | Monitoring | 198 |
| 8 | Cons | truction assessment | 199 |
| | <u>8.1</u> | Introduction | 199 |
| | 8.2 | Construction Phasing | 202 |
| | 8.3 | Networks | 207 |
| | 8.4 | Compounds and Utility Logistics Hubs access arrangements | 216 |
| | 8.5 | Network changes | 224 |
| | 8.6 | Construction vehicles | 252 |
| | 8.7 | Thurrock Flexible Generation Plant demand | 265 |
| | 8.8 | Impacts on the highway network | 266 |
| | 8.9 | Impacts on the public transport network | 382 |
| App DAT | | orate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Iment Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 er 2023 III National Highways Limited – all rights rese | 2023 erved |

| Lower Thames Crossing - 7.9 Transport Assessment |
|--|
| (Tracked changes version) |

Volume 7

| | <u>8.10</u> | Impacts on walkers, cyclists and horse riders40 | 6 |
|------------|-------------|--|---|
| | <u>8.11</u> | Impacts on users of the river40 | 6 |
| 9 | Road | safety40 | 7 |
| | 9.1 | Introduction40 | 7 |
| | <u>9.2</u> | Safety aspects of the Project design40 | 7 |
| | 9.3 | Collision analysis41 | 0 |
| | 9.4 | Collison history near junctions with the Project41 | 9 |
| <u>10</u> | Mana | gement of impacts42 | 2 |
| | <u>10.1</u> | Management of impacts during construction42 | 2 |
| | 10.2 | Impacts after opening42 | 5 |
| <u>11</u> | Conc | lusion42 | 7 |
| | <u>11.1</u> | Introduction | 7 |
| | <u>11.2</u> | Planning policy and guidance42 | 7 |
| | <u>11.3</u> | Road safety42 | 7 |
| | <u>11.4</u> | Operational assessment | 8 |
| | 11.5 | Construction assessment | 8 |
| | 11.6 | Management of impacts42 | 9 |
| | <u>11.7</u> | Summary43 | 0 |
| Refe | erence | <u>s</u> 43 | 1 |
| Glo | ssary. | 43 | 5 |
| <u>App</u> | endice | es44 | 2 |

| Del | eted: 1 Executive summary 19 |
|------|--|
| 1.2 | Assessment tools and methodology 19 |
| 1.3 | Planning policy and guidance 29 |
| 1.4 | Operational assessment 4¶ |
| 1.5 | Construction assessment 6¶ |
| 2 li | ntroduction 8¶ |
| 2.1 | Purpose of this document 8¶ |
| 2.2 | Relationship with other application documents 10¶ |
| 2.3 | Structure of this document 10¶ |
| 3 т | The Project 12¶ |
| 3.1 | Project description 12¶ |
| | Policy and guidance 18¶ |
| | Introduction 18¶ |
| | National policy 18¶ |
| | Regional and local policy 22¶ |
| | Guidance 29¶ |
| | Feedback on the Environmental Scoping Report 309 |
| | Feedback from consultations 32¶ |
| | Technical engagement 35¶ |
| | Project response to consultation and engagement 35¶ |
| | Methodology 36¶ |
| | Assessment methodology 36¶ |
| | Assessment tools 36¶ |
| | Baseline assessment 38¶ |
| | Operational assessment 38¶ |
| | Construction assessment 39¶ |
| | Safety review 40¶ |
| | Lower Thames Area Model 40¶ |
| | Summary 53¶ |
| | Baseline assessment 54¶ |
| | Introduction 54¶ |
| | Highways 54¶ |
| | Road descriptions 55¶ |
| | Traffic flows 56¶ |
| | Volume/Capacity 60¶ |
| | Highway conditions near junctions with the Project 64¶ |
| | Railways 86¶ |
| | Waterways and canals 90¶ |
| | Bus and coach networks 90¶ |
| | Network for walkers, cyclists and horse riders 95¶ |
| | WCH surveys 110¶ |
| | Derational assessment 111¶ |
| | Introduction 111¶ |
| | Traffic on the Project mainline 112¶ |
| | Traffic on the Project junctions 113¶ |
| | Changes in flow on the river crossings 130¶ |
| | |
| | Traffic forecasts for the wider road network 133¶ |
| | Scale of impacts 151¶ |
| 7.7 | 3 |
| | Highway diversions, realignments and closures 180¶ |
| | Resilience and reliability 180¶ |
| | |

- 7.10 Abnormal load routes 181¶ 7.10 Abnormal load routes 181¶ 7.11 Impacts on public transport 181¶ 7.12 Impacts on walkers, cyclists and horse riders 188¶ 7.13 Impacts on river users 198¶ 7.14 Monitoring 198¶ 8 Construction assessment 199¶ 9 4 Intractivities 4000
- 8.1 Introduction Phasing 202¶ 8.2 Construction Phasing 202¶

- 8.2 Construction Phasing 202¶
 8.3 Networks 207¶
 8.4 Compounds and Utility Logistics Hubs access arrangements 216¶
 8.5 Network changes 225¶
 8.6 Construction vehicles 252¶
 8.7 Thurrock Flexible Generation Plant demand 265¶
 8.8 Impacts on the public transport network 382¶
 8.10 Impacts on walkers, cyclists and horse riders 406¶
 8.11 Impacts on the soft the river 406¶
- 8.11 Impacts on users of the river 406¶ 9 Road safety 407¶ 9.1 Introduction 407¶

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

List of plates

Page number

| Plate 2.1 Local authorities | | | 9 |
|---|--------------------|---|----------|
| Plate 3.1 Lower Thames Crossing route | | 1 | 17 |
| Plate 5.1 The LTAM Fully Modelled Area | | 4 | 40 |
| Plate 5.2 Main future development areas incl | uded in the trans | port model for 2030 | 45 |
| Plate 5.3 Main future development areas incl | uded in the trans | port model for 20454 | 46 |
| Plate 5.4 Future highway schemes (1 of 3) | | | |
| Plate 5.5 Future highway schemes (2 of 3) | | 4 | 48 |
| Plate 5.6 Future highway schemes (3 of 3) | | 4 | 48 |
| Plate 6.1 The highway network near the Proj | ect | ξ | 55 |
| Plate 6.2 Baseline flows 2016 AM peak (07:0 | 00–08:00) | 5 | 57 |
| Plate 6.3 Baseline flows 2016 inter-peak | | Ę | 58 |
| Plate 6.4 Baseline flows 2016 PM peak | | Ę | 59 |
| Plate 6.5 Volume to capacity 2016 AM peak | (07:00–08:00) | 6 | 31 |
| Plate 6.6 Volume to capacity 2016 inter-peak | (| 6 | 32 |
| Plate 6.7 Volume to capacity 2016 PM peak | (17:00-18:00) | 6 | 33 |
| Plate 6.8 Baseline flows 2016 AM peak (07:0 | 0–08:00) at the M | /12/A2/A122 Lower Thames | ; |
| Crossing junction | | | |
| Plate 6.9 Baseline flows 2016 inter-peak at th | ne M2/A2/A122 L | ower Thames Crossing | |
| junction | | 6 | 36 |
| Plate 6.10 Baseline flows 2016 PM peak (17) | :00-18:00) at the | M2/A2/A122 Lower Thames | s |
| Crossing junction | | 6 | 37 |
| Plate 6.11 Volume to capacity 2016 AM peak | k (07:00–08:00) a | t the M2/A2/A122 Lower | |
| Thames Crossing junction | | 6 | 38 |
| Plate 6.12 Volume to capacity 2016 inter-pea | ak at the M2/A2/A | 122 Lower Thames Crossir | ng |
| junction | | 6 | 39 |
| Plate 6.13 Volume to capacity 2016 PM peak | k (17:00-18:00) at | the M2/A2/A122 Lower | |
| Thames Crossing junction | | | 70 |
| Plate 6.14 Baseline flows 2016 AM peak (07 | :00-08:00) at the | A13/A1089/A122 | |
| Lower Thames Crossing junction | | 7 | 72 |
| Plate 6.15 Baseline flows 2016 inter-peak at | the A13/A1089/A | 122 Lower Thames Crossir | ng |
| junction | | 7 | 73 |
| Plate 6.16 Baseline flows 2016 PM peak (17 | :00-18:00) at the | A13/A1089/A122 | |
| Lower Thames Crossing junction | | 7 | 74 |
| Plate 6.17 Volume to capacity 2016 AM peak | | | |
| Thames Crossing junction | | | 75 |
| Plate 6.18 Volume to capacity 2016 inter-pea | ak at the A13/A10 | 89/A122 | |
| Lower Thames Crossing junction | | | |
| Plate 6.19 Volume to capacity 2016 PM peak | | | |
| Thames Crossing junction | | | 77 |
| Plate 6.20 Baseline flows 2016 AM peak (07 | | | |
| Lower Thames Crossing/M25 junction | | | 79 |
| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4 | v | Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © - 202 National Highways Limited – all rights reserve | 23 ed |

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 | I ransport Assessment | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| (Tracked changes version) | | |

| Plate 6.21 Baseline flows 2016 inter-peak at the A122 |
|---|
| Lower Thames Crossing/M25 junction |
| Plate 6.22 Baseline flows 2016 PM peak (17:00-18:00) at the A122 |
| Lower Thames Crossing/M25 junction |
| Plate 6.23 Volume to capacity 2016 AM peak (07:00–08:00) at the A122 Lower Thames |
| Crossing/M25 junction |
| Plate 6.24 Volume to capacity 2016 inter-peak at the A122 Lower Thames Crossing/M25 |
| junction83 |
| Plate 6.25 Volume to capacity 2016 PM peak (17:00-18:00) at the A122 |
| Lower Thames Crossing/M25 junction |
| Plate 6.26 Heavy load routes near the Project |
| Plate 6.27 Existing railway lines |
| Plate 6.28 Thurrock bus routes91 |
| Plate 6.29 Gravesend bus routes |
| Plate 6.30 Cycle routes south of River Thames96 |
| Plate 6.31 PRoW south of the River Thames99 |
| Plate 6.32 Cycle routes north of the River Thames (Tilbury area)101 |
| Plate 6.33 PRoW north of the River Thames (Tilbury area)104 |
| Plate 6.34 Cycle routes north of River Thames (Ockendon area)105 |
| Plate 6.35 PRoW north of River Thames (Ockendon area)109 |
| Plate 7.1 M2/A2/A122 Lower Thames Crossing junction representation in the LTAM115 |
| Plate 7.2 Volume to capacity at the M2/A2/A122 Lower Thames Crossing junction – AM |
| peak 2045117 |
| Plate 7.3 Volume to capacity at the M2/A2/A122 Lower Thames Crossing junction – PM |
| peak 2045119 |
| Plate 7.4 A13/A1089/A122 Lower Thames Crossing junction representation in the LTAM |
| |
| Plate 7.5 Volume to capacity at the A13/A1089/A122 Lower Thames Crossing junction – |
| AM peak 2045123 |
| Plate 7.6 Volume to capacity at the A13/A1089/A122 Lower Thames Crossing junction – |
| PM peak 2045124 |
| Plate 7.7 A122 Lower Thames Crossing/M25 junction representation in the LTAM125 |
| Plate 7.8 Volume to capacity at the A122 Lower Thames Crossing/M25 |
| junction – AM Peak 2045127 |
| Plate 7.9 Volume to capacity at the A122 Lower Thames Crossing/M25 junction – |
| PM Peak 2045 |
| Plate 7.10 Change in flows with the Project: AM peak (07:00-08:00), 2045134 |
| Plate 7.11 Change in flows with the Project: AM peak (07:00-08:00), 2045 at the junctions |
| with the A2, A13 and M25135 |
| Plate 7.12 Change in flows with the Project: inter-peak, 2045 |
| Plate 7.13 Change in flows with the Project: inter-peak, 2045 at the junctions with the A2, |
| A13 and M25 |
| Plate 7.14 Change in flows with the Project: PM peak (17:00-18:00), 2045138 |
| |
| |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

vi

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 | Transport / | Assessment |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| (Tracked changes version) | | |

| Plate 7.15 Change in flows with the Project: PM peak (17:00–18:00), 2045 at the junctions with the A2, A13 and M25 |
|--|
| Plate 7.16 Percentage change in flows with the Project: AM peak (07:00–08:00), 2045.141 |
| |
| Plate 7.17 Percentage change in flows with the Project: inter-peak, 2045 |
| Plate 7.18 Percentage change in flows with the Project: PM peak (17:00–18:00), 2045.143 |
| Plate 7.19 Traffic volumes as percentage of road capacity, Do Minimum: AM peak, 2045 |
| |
| Plate 7.20 Traffic volumes as percentage of road capacity, Do Something: AM peak, 2045 |
| Plate 7.21 Traffic volumes as percentage of road capacity, Do Minimum: inter-peak, 2045 |
| |
| Plate 7.22 Traffic volumes as percentage of road capacity, Do Something: inter-peak, |
| 2045148 |
| Plate 7.23 Traffic volumes as percentage of road capacity, Do Minimum: PM peak, 2045 |
| |
| Plate 7.24 Traffic volumes as percentage of road capacity, Do Something: PM peak, 2045 |
| |
| Plate 7.25 Adverse impacts, north, core scenario, AM peak 2030154 |
| Plate 7.26 Adverse impacts, north, core scenario, inter-peak 2030 |
| Plate 7.27 Adverse impacts, north, core scenario, PM peak 2030156 |
| Plate 7.28 Adverse impacts, south, core scenario, AM peak 2030157 |
| Plate 7.29 Adverse impacts, south, core scenario, inter-peak 2030158 |
| Plate 7.30 Adverse impacts, south, core scenario, PM peak 2030159 |
| Plate 7.31 Beneficial impacts, north, core scenario, AM peak 2030160 |
| Plate 7.32 Beneficial impacts, north, core scenario, inter-peak 2030161 |
| Plate 7.33 Beneficial impacts, north, core scenario, PM peak 2030162 |
| Plate 7.34 Beneficial impacts, south, core scenario, AM peak 2030163 |
| Plate 7.35 Beneficial impacts, south, core scenario, inter-peak 2030164 |
| Plate 7.36 Beneficial impacts, south, core scenario, PM peak 2030165 |
| Plate 7.37 Journey time routes |
| Plate 7.38 Bus/coach routes considered in analysis184 |
| Plate 7.39 Changes in bus/coach journey times, 2030, AM peak |
| Plate 7.40 Changes in bus/coach journey times, 2030, inter-peak |
| Plate 7.41 Changes in bus/coach journey times, 2030, PM peak |
| Plate 7.42 Severance during Project operation – impacted road links |
| Plate 8.1 Construction traffic modelling scenarios |
| Plate 8.2 Construction compounds and Utility Logistics Hubs (1 of 3)208 |
| Plate 8.3 Construction compounds and Utility Logistics Hubs (2 of 3) |
| Plate 8.4 Construction compounds and Utility Logistics Hubs (3 of 3)210 |
| Plate 8.5 Haul road 1 (between the A2 and Southern tunnel entrance compounds)212 |
| Plate 8.6 Haul road 2 (between the Southern tunnel entrance and A226 Gravesend Road |
| compounds)212 |
| Plate 8.7 Haul road 3 (within the Northern tunnel entrance and Station Road compounds) |
| |
| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 | Transport A | Assessment |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| (Tracked changes version) | | |

| Dista 9.9 Liquit road 20 (hotwaan the Station Dood and Brantwaad Dood compounds) houl |
|--|
| Plate 8.8 Haul road 3a (between the Station Road and Brentwood Road compounds) haul |
| road 4 (between Brentwood Road and the Stanford Road compounds)213 |
| Plate 8.9 Haul road 4a (underneath the A13) and haul road 5 (between Green Lane and |
| Stifford Clays Road - serving the Stifford Clays Road West and Stifford Clays Road East |
| compounds)214 |
| Plate 8.10 Haul road 6 (haul road between the Stifford Clays Road compound West and |
| M25 temporary slip road) – phase 2 to 4214 |
| Plate 8.11 Haul road 6 (haul road between the Stifford Clays Road compound West and |
| M25 temporary slip road) – phase 5 to 11215 |
| Plate 8.12 Haul road 7 (M25 temporary on-off slip roads between junction 29 and junction |
| 30 (from Phase 4))215 |
| Plate 8.13 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 1 |
| Plate 8.14 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 2 |
| Plate 8.15 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 3 |
| Plate 8.16 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 4 |
| Plate 8.17 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 5 |
| Plate 8.18 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 6 |
| Plate 8.19 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 7 |
| Plate 8.20 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 8 |
| Plate 8.21 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 9 |
| Plate 8.22 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 10 |
| Plate 8.23 Map of traffic Measures and network changes modelled in Phase 10 |
| |
| Plate 8.24 External destinations for spoil/excavated material |
| Plate 8.26 Journey time routes |
| • |
| Plate 8.27 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 1 AM peak |
| Plate 8.28 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 1 inter-peak271 |
| Plate 8.29 Change in actual flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 1 PM peak 272 |
| Plate 8.30 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 1 AM peak |
| Plate 8.31 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 1 inter-peak274 |
| Plate 8.32 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 1 PM peak275 |
| Plate 8.33 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 2 AM peak |
| Plate 8.34 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 2 inter-peak282 |
| Plate 8.35 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 2 PM peak |
| Plate 8.36 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 2 AM peak |
| Plate 8.37 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 2 inter-peak285 |
| Plate 8.38 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 2 PM peak |
| Plate 8.39 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 3 AM peak |
| Plate 8.40 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 3 inter-peak291 |
| Plate 8.41 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 3 PM peak |
| Plate 8.42 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 3 AM peak |
| Plate 8.43 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 3 inter-peak294 |
| Plate 8.44 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 3 PM peak295 |
| Plate 8.45 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 4 AM peak |
| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010022 |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 | Transport / | Assessment |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| (Tracked changes version) | | |

Plate 8.46 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 4 inter-peak302 Plate 8.47 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 4 PM peak303 Plate 8.48 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 4 AM peak...........304 Plate 8.49 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 4 inter-peak.......305 Plate 8.50 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 4 PM peak..........306 Plate 8.52 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 5 inter-peak312 Plate 8.55 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 5 inter-peak.......315 Plate 8.56 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 5 PM peak.......316 Plate 8.58 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 6 inter-peak323 Plate 8.60 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 6 AM peak.......325 Plate 8.61 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 6 inter-peak.......326 Plate 8.62 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 6 PM peak........327 Plate 8.64 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 7 inter-peak334 Plate 8.66 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 7 AM peak........336 Plate 8.67 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 7 inter-peak.......337 Plate 8.70 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 8 inter-peak345 Plate 8.73 Change in actual flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 8 inter-peak Plate 8.75 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 9 AM peak355 Plate 8.76 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 9 inter-peak356 Plate 8.79 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 9 inter-peak.......359 Plate 8.81 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 10 AM peak366 Plate 8.82 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 10 inter-peak367 Plate 8.83 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 10 PM peak368 Plate 8.84 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 10 AM peak.......369 Plate 8.85 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 10 inter-peak370 Plate 8.86 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 10 PM peak......371 Plate 8.87 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 11 AM peak375 Plate 8.88 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 11 inter-peak376

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

ix

| Lower Thames Crossing – | - 7.9 Transport Assessment |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| (Tracked changes version |) |

| Plate 8.89 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 11 PM peak377 |
|---|
| Plate 8.90 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 11 AM peak378 |
| o |
| Plate 8.91 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 11 inter-peak379 |
| Plate 8.92 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 11 PM peak380 |
| Plate 8.93 Impacted bus routes in phase 1 |
| Plate 8.94 Impacted bus routes in phase 2 |
| Plate 8.95 Impacted bus routes in phase 3 |
| Plate 8.96 Impacted bus routes in phase 4 |
| Plate 8.97 Impacted bus routes in phase 5 |
| Plate 8.98 Impacted bus routes in phase 6 |
| Plate 8.99 Impacted bus routes in phase 7 |
| Plate 8.100 Impacted bus routes in phase 8401 |
| Plate 8.101 Impacted bus routes in phase 9403 |
| Plate 8.102 Impacted bus routes in phase 10405 |
| Plate 9.1 Collision analysis appraisal area411 |
| Plate 9.2 Local accident rates413 |
| Plate 9.3 Spatial distribution of accidents by value over 60 years415 |
| Plate 9.4 Comparator regional area418 |
| Plate 9.5 Collisions A2/M2 junction, 2015 - 2019 |
| Plate 9.6 Collisions A13/A1089 junction, 2015 - 2019 |
| Plate 9.7 Collisions M25 junction, 2015 - 2019421 |
| Plate 10.1 Control plan423 |
| |

List of tables

Page number

| Table 5.1 Road schemes included in the LTAM | 49 |
|---|----|
| Table 6.1 Principal roads near the Project | 54 |
| Table 6.2 Frequency of rail services (August 2022) | 88 |
| Table 6.3 Frequency of train services on lines bisected by the Project (August 2022)8 | 89 |
| Table 6.4 National Express coaches. | 93 |
| Table 6.5 Commuter coaches | |
| Table 6.6 Thurrock buses | 93 |
| Table 6.7 Gravesend buses | 94 |
| Table 6.8 Buses along affected routes | 94 |
| Table 7.1 Forecast traffic flows on the A12211 | 12 |
| Table 7.2 Permitted movements at the M2/A2/A122 Lower Thames Crossing junction11 | 14 |
| Table 7.3 Permitted movements at the A13/A1089/A122 Lower Thames Crossing junction | n |
| | 21 |
| Table 7.4 Hourly forecast cross-river flows (PCUs/hr) | 30 |
| Table 7.5 Comparison of HGV vehicle numbers on Dartford Crossing and the A122 Lowe | er |
| Thames Crossing | 31 |
| | |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

х

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 Transport A | ssessment |
|---|-----------|
| (Tracked changes version) | |

| Table 7.6 Percentage of HGVs at Dartford Crossing and the A122 Lower | |
|---|---------------------|
| Thames Crossing | |
| Table 7.7 Comparison of volume to capacity percentages on the Dartford Crossing an | id the |
| A122 Lower Thames Crossing tunnel | |
| Table 7.8 Scoring system for change in volume to capacity | |
| Table 7.9 Score for volume to capacity | |
| Table 7.10 Category thresholds | 152 |
| Table 7.11 Journey time comparison, Do Minimum and Do Something scenarios, AM peak, 2030 | 168 |
| Table 7.12 Journey time comparison, Do Minimum and Do Something scenarios, inter | |
| peak, 2030 | |
| Table 7.13 Journey time comparison, Do Minimum and Do Something scenarios, PM | |
| peak, 2030 | |
| Table 7.14: Bus journey time impacts | |
| Table 7.15 Severance locations | |
| Table 8.1 Construction modelling phases | |
| Table 8.2 Correspondence Between Phases and Scenarios | 205 |
| Table 8.3 Programme of haul road availability in the LTAM | |
| Table 8.4 Number of traffic management measures and network changes by type | 216 |
| Table 8.5 Traffic management measures excluded from the analysis | |
| Table 8.6 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 1 | 225 |
| Table 8.7 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 2 | 227 |
| Table 8.8 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 3 | 229 |
| Table 8.9 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 4 | 231 |
| Table 8.10 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 5 | 233 |
| Table 8.11 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 6 | 235 |
| Table 8.12 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 7 | 238 |
| Table 8.13 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 8 | 241 |
| Table 8.14 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 9 | 244 |
| Table 8.15 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 10 | 247 |
| Table 8.16 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 11 | |
| Table 8.17 Workforce numbers | 257 |
| Table 8.18 Modal share assumptions by compound/ ULH | 257 |
| Table 8.19 Staff temporal profile – staff non tunnel, and tunnel daytime shift | 259 |
| Table 8.20 Staff temporal profile – staff tunnel extended daytime shift | 259 |
| Table 8.21 Staff temporal profile – staff tunnel 24hr shift | 260 |
| Table 8.22 Onsite accommodation assumptions for the workforce north of the | |
| River Thames | 261 |
| Table 8.23 Construction traffic demand in phase 1 (PCU/hr) | 262 |
| Table 8.24 Construction traffic demand in phase 2 (PCU/hr) | 262 |
| Table 8.25 Construction traffic demand in phase 3 (PCU/hr) | 263 |
| Table 8.26 Construction traffic demand in phase 4 (PCU/hr) | 263 |
| Table 8.27 Construction traffic demand in phase 5 (PCU/hr) | 263 |
| Table 8.28 Construction traffic demand in phase 6 (PCU/hr) | 263 |
| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © DATE: September 2023 Xi DEADLINE: 4 National Highways Limited – all rights re |) - 2023 eserved |

Application Document Ref: DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 | Transport Assessment |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| (Tracked changes version) | |

| Table 8.29 Construction traffic demand in phase 7 (PCU/hr) | .264 |
|--|------|
| Table 8.30 Construction traffic demand in phase 7 (PCU/hr) | .264 |
| Table 8.31 Construction traffic demand in phase 9 (PCU/hr) | .264 |
| Table 8.32 Construction traffic demand in phase 10 (PCU/hr) | .264 |
| Table 8.33 Construction traffic demand in phase 11 (PCU/hr) | |
| Table 8.34 TFGP staff volumes | |
| Table 8.35 TFGP HGV delivery sources | |
| Table 8.36 Construction programme modelled journey time routes | |
| Table 8.37 Construction impact on journey times (phase 1 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.38 Construction impact on journey times (phase 1 inter-peak) | |
| Table 8.39 Construction impact on journey times (phase 1 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.40 Construction impact on journey times (phase 2 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.41 Construction impact on journey times (phase 2 inter-peak) | |
| Table 8.42 Construction impact on journey times (phase 2 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.43 Construction impact on journey times (phase 2 M peak) | |
| Table 8.44 Construction impact on journey times (phase 3 inter-peak) | |
| Table 8.45 Construction impact on journey times (phase 3 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.46 Construction impact on journey times (phase 3 + M peak) | |
| Table 8.47 Construction impact on journey times (phase 4 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.48 Construction impact on journey times (phase 4 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.49 Construction impact on journey times (phase 4 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.50 Construction impact on journey times (phase 5 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.51 Construction impact on journey times (phase 5 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.52 Construction impact on journey times (phase 5 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.53 Construction impact on journey times (phase 6 Am peak) | |
| Table 8.54 Construction impact on journey times phase 6 PM peak) | |
| | |
| Table 8.55 Construction impact on journey times (phase 7 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.56 Construction impact on journey times (phase 7 inter-peak) | |
| Table 8.57 Construction impact on journey times (phase 7 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.58 Construction impact on journey times (phase 8 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.59 Construction impact on journey times (phase 8 inter-peak) | |
| Table 8.60 Construction impact on journey times (phase 8 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.61 Construction impact on journey times (phase 9 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.62 Construction Impact on journey times (Phase 9 inter-peak) | |
| Table 8.63 Construction impact on journey times (phase 9 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.64 Construction impact on journey times (phase 10 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.65 Construction impact on journey times (phase 10 inter-peak) | |
| Table 8.66 Construction impact on journey times (phase 10 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.67 Construction impact on journey times (phase 11 AM peak) | |
| Table 8.68 Construction impact on journey times (phase 11 inter-peak) | |
| Table 8.69 Construction impact on journey times (phase 11 PM peak) | |
| Table 8.70 Affected bus routes in phase 1 | |
| Table 8.71 Affected bus routes in phase 2 | |
| Table 8.72 Affected bus routes in phase 3 | .390 |
| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © - | 2023 |

rianning inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 Transport Assessmer | nt |
|---|----|
| (Tracked changes version) | |

| Volumo | 7 |
|--------|---|
| Volume | 1 |

| Table 8.73 Affected bus routes in phase 4 | 392 |
|--|-----|
| Table 8.74 Affected bus routes in phase 5 | 394 |
| Table 8.75 Affected bus routes in phase 6 | 396 |
| Table 8.76 Affected bus routes in phase 7 | 398 |
| Table 8.77 Affected bus routes in phase 8 | 400 |
| Table 8.78 Affected bus routes in phase 9 | 402 |
| Table 8.79 Affected bus routes in phase 10 | 404 |
| Table 9.1 Accident appraisal of extended SRN links | 411 |
| Table 9.2 Roads where local accident rates were used in the appraisal, A-roads | 413 |
| Table 9.3 Roads where local accident rates were used in the appraisal, motorways | 414 |
| Table 9.4 Change in the number of casualties over the appraisal period | 414 |
| Table 9.5 Accident cost per vehicle kilometre | 415 |
| Table 9.6 Comparison of collision casualty statistics by vulnerable group | 419 |

8 Construction assessment

8.1 Introduction

- 8.1.1 The construction of the Project would have an impact on some of the following four groups of users of the transport network in the Lower Thames area:
 - a. users of the highway network due to construction works and the presence of construction traffic on the network.
 - b. passengers on parts of the public transport network.
 - c. walkers, cyclists and horse riders.
 - d. users of the River Thames.
- 8.1.2 This chapter presents the impacts during construction of the Project on these groups.
- 8.1.3 Further detail about the assessments undertaken and the assumptions made is contained within Appendix E Construction traffic assessment supporting information.
- 8.1.4 The Project's construction programme is complex and involves works associated with both the construction of the new highways and the tunnel, and the provision of new, and diversion of, utility connections. This work would result in new, temporary vehicle movements associated with the construction works, as well as changes to existing traffic flows through the introduction of temporary traffic management across the road network.
- 8.1.5 Following the DCO Grant there would be preparatory works, referred to in the draft DCO as preliminary works taking place in 2024. The main construction period for the Lower Thames Crossing would start in early 2025, with the road being open for traffic in late 2030.
- 8.1.6 As with all large projects, assumptions about the construction programme have been made, which would be refined as contractors are appointed and as the detailed design is developed.
- 8.1.7 The Lower Thames Area Model (LTAM) has been used to provide an extensive quantitative assessment of the impact of construction works on the road network.
 - a. The LTAM provides a tool for assessing the complex and extensive nature of the construction programme. It enables the consideration of how changes on the road network would change road user choices across the entire impacted region, as they avoid areas of network delay, and so enables the identification of areas that might be impacted by re-routing traffic and the impact of the traffic management measures.

- b. The actual construction works across the region would change on a daily basis, with short term activities of less than a week, and different combinations of works taking place at the same time. For example, two pieces of work each of a month duration may only overlap for a few days. At this stage of planning it is not possible to produce a day to day construction plan for the works, as it would require further input from Contractors, and the detailed planning would take place on a rolling basis as construction proceeds. As a consequence, it is necessary to rationalise the construction programme to allow for it to be represented in a model, while ensuring that it provides assessments of both the transport and environmental impacts associated with the works.
- c. For the purposes of modelling the impacts of these measures on the transport system, and for the environmental assessment, the schedule from early 2025 to late 2030 has been divided into 11 phases. For each phase a representative set of traffic management measures for that phase were coded into the representation of the highway network in the LTAM. In developing these 11 phases a number of assumptions have been made as to the works being undertaken, with the intention of developing a representation of a reasonably worst case construction scenario for each modelled time period.
- d. Traffic management measures have been included when they are in place for half or more of a single phase and on a road that is included in the LTAM. Inclusion of all activities that would occur within the time covered by a single phase would not create a representative assessment of the likely impacts. Throughout a single phase, traffic management measures would be continuously introduced and removed from the highway network. Including all of the traffic management measures at the same time would create a disproportionately worst case representation of the traffic flows across the region. To create a representative model of each phase, the works that are likely to be in place at the same time, based on their comparative length, have been included. This is a proportionate approach to modelling a representative construction programme.
- e. A review of the excluded traffic management measures was undertaken to check that this process had not excluded any traffic management measures that would create significant changes in the traffic flows across the region. While the excluded works do include some closures of local roads would be in place for less than one month and so providing a detailed model focussed solely on this period would be disproportionate. Road closures would be planned with the appropriate local authorities, the framework for working with the local authorities on these works is set out in the oTMPfC (Application Document 7.14).

- f. The LTAM covers an average weekday in the Lower Thames area. Traffic management works that take place over nights and weekends cannot be included in the modelling. Works of this nature would have short term impacts on traffic flows and would be subject to careful planning and engagement with highways authorities.
- g. The LTAM is a strategic model and some local roads or 'C' roads are not included in the model. This is set out in more detail in Appendix B of the Combined Modelling and Appraisal Report (Application Document 7.7). For completeness, the framework for working with the local authorities is set out in the oTMPfC (Application Document 7.14).
- Notwithstanding the above exclusions, the construction models developed using the LTAM are a robust representation of a reasonable worst case. In developing the model, a further series of assumptions have been made to ensure that the construction programme is not under-represented. These include:
 - A 20% uplift has been made to the earthworks movement volumes to account for uncertainty associated with this stage of design development.
 - Selected shift times have been aligned to sit on the morning and evening traffic peak, whereas the proposed shift times, as set out in the Code of Construction Practice (Application Document 6.3) do not align with peak traffic flows
- i. If a worksite would only be active for part of a phase, the modelling assumes it would be in place for the whole phase; e.g. if a worksite would in place for 50% of a phase, and generating 100 staff trips per month, the value used as the phase average is 100 staff trips, rather than 50.
- j. The application of contraflows as described within this chapter as a traffic management measure have been modelled with fixed signal timings. In reality, the signal timings would be set responsively to travel conditions and so are likely to operate with less delay than has been assumed in the modelling.
- k. No allowance has been made for the effectiveness of the Framework Construction Travel Plan (Application Document 7.13) in reducing staff journeys on the highway network
- I. In addition, a review of the excluded traffic management measures was undertaken to check that this process had not excluded any traffic management measures that would create significant changes in the traffic flows across the region. While the excluded works do include some closures of local roads, and a lane closure on the A13, these would be in place for less than one month.

Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

- 8.1.8 The construction traffic and traffic management measures included in the LTAM incorporate the following elements:
 - a. HGV movements associated with the construction of the Project.
 - b. Vehicle movements associated with staff attending the construction worksites.
 - c. Temporary traffic management measures associated with:
 - i. the construction of the new junctions with the A2, A13 and M25
 - ii. the construction of new structures over existing highways
 - iii. the modification of existing roads
 - iv. construction and use of access routes to the construction worksites
 - v. utilities diversions and new utility connections required for the Project
- 8.1.9 The Outline Traffic Management Plan for Construction (oTMPfC) (Application Document 7.14) has been produced which sets the principles which would be applied during the construction of the Project. The Traffic Management Plan for Construction (TMP) will be drafted substantially in accordance with the oTMPfC (Application Document 7.14). The TMP will be submitted and approved by the Secretary of State, following consultation on the plan by National Highways with local authorities and other specified bodies (see Schedule 2, Requirement 10 of the draft DCO (Application Document 3.1)).
- 8.1.10 The following sections of this chapter describe:
 - a. the phases used in the traffic modelling
 - b. the changes made to the transport network to represent each phase
 - c. the number of Project-related vehicles in each phase
- 8.1.11 An assessment is then provided of the impact of the construction of the Project, in each phase, on the users of the transport system.
- 8.1.12 Chapter 10 of this TA provides details of how the Project would mitigate the forecast impacts of the construction programme.

8.2 Construction Phasing

8.2.1 Following the DCO Grant there would be preparatory works, referred to in the draft DCO as preliminary works taking place in 2024. The main construction period for the Lower Thames Crossing would start in early 2025, with the road being open for traffic in late 2030. These preliminary works are not considered to be significant in traffic terms and so do not form part of the assessment within this TA. These works would however be controlled by the measures set out in the oTMPfC (Application Document 7.14).

- 8.2.2 The construction of the Project would require the use of traffic management measures, such as narrow lanes and traffic lights to control traffic through contraflows. As these would be required for a variety of different works, they would be in place for differing lengths of time; some relatively short term, while others would last for longer periods.
- 8.2.3 The impacts on the performance of the highway network as a result of the construction of the Project were forecast by using the LTAM. As the traffic management measures occur at different times, and the number of additional construction vehicles on the network vary over time, a series of representative phases were modelled using the LTAM.
- 8.2.4 For the purposes of assessing the impacts of the proposed construction programme on the transport network a phasing plan was developed, primarily based on the scheduled traffic management measures. This led to the identification of 11 phases for the construction period where, as far as possible, the traffic management within each phase is constant. Some approximations have been made which means that, in some instances, the duration of the traffic management measures has been slightly increased or decreased in order to fit in with the phases modelled.
- 8.2.5 The number of construction related vehicle movements have been averaged over each phase, so that the LTAM forecasts the average conditions within each phase.
- 8.2.6 The indicative start and end dates of each of the 11 phases are shown in Table 8.1.

| Phase | Start | End | Duration (months) | | | | | |
|-------|------------|------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 01/01/2025 | 31/08/2025 | 8 | | | | | |
| 2 | 01/09/2025 | 28/02/2026 | 6 | | | | | |
| 3 | 01/03/2026 | 31/05/2026 | 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | 01/06/2026 | 31/10/2026 | 5 | | | | | |
| 5 | 01/11/2026 | 31/03/2027 | 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | 01/04/2027 | 31/08/2027 | 5 | | | | | |
| 7 | 01/09/2027 | 31/03/2028 | 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | 01/04/2028 | 30/11/2028 | 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | 01/12/2028 | 31/03/2029 | 4 | | | | | |
| 10 | 01/04/2029 | 31/07/2029 | 4 | | | | | |
| 11 | 01/08/2029 | 31/12/2030 | 17 | | | | | |

Table 8.1 Construction modelling phases

Terminology

- 8.2.7 Within this chapter, reference is made to the following terms. An explanation of their meaning is provided here for clarity:
- 8.2.8 Haul roads: Some compound accesses would require the addition of temporary new link roads between compounds and the existing highway infrastructure.

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4 access and egress the compounds.

These are known as haul roads and they would generally follow the alignment of the Project road. Some of these haul roads would require new temporary priority or signalised junctions to be created to enable construction traffic to

- a. Background traffic: In this chapter the term 'background traffic' is used to describe the existing or forecast general traffic on the road network and the term 'construction traffic' is used to describe the forecast construction and staff/worker vehicles travelling to and from the construction worksites.
- b. Scenarios: In this chapter, for the purposes of describing the construction modelling the term 'without construction scenario' (Do Minimum) is used to describe the road network if the Project construction was not happening. The 'with construction scenario' (Do Something) represents the network with the Project construction activities.

Networks by phase

- 8.2.9 The starting point for the development of the networks was the coding of the 2030 Do Minimum network in the LTAM. This is described more fully in the Combined Modelling and Appraisal Report, Appendix C: Transport Forecasting Package (Application Document 7.7).
- 8.2.10 The Thurrock Flexible Generation Plant (TFGP) is planned to be constructed at the same time as the Project and as such, consideration of its forecast impact on the road network during its construction has been made within the construction assessment of the Project on the road network. This is set out below.
- 8.2.11 There are currently a range of different options for the construction of the TFGP as outlined in their Environmental Statement and Transport Assessment (The Planning Inspectorate, 2022). For the purposes of the Project's construction modelling the most impactful scenario was assumed to be construction beginning in Q3 2022 and running in three 18 month phases with a nine month gap in between each phase. This leads to the following dates for the TFGP construction phases:
 - a. Phase 1: July 2022 to December 2023 (before Project Construction)
 - b. Phase 2: October 2024 to March 2026 (Project phases 1, 2, and the first month of phase 3)
 - c. Phase 3: January 2027 to June 2028 (the last two months of phase 5, through to the first three months of phase 8)
- 8.2.12 If the TFGP construction phase occurs within a Project construction modelling phase, the TFGP construction has been assumed for the whole of that phase and included in the LTAM. These additional vehicles on the network due to the construction of the TFGP lead to a requirement to develop two separate Do Minimum scenarios, which affect the Do Minimum matrices used. These are defined as follows:
 - a. Do Minimum A (DMA): Excluding TFGP construction traffic
 - b. Do Minimum B (DMB): Including TFGP construction traffic

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

8.2.13 Table 8.2 describes how the different Do Minimum (DM) and Do Something (DS) scenarios are related to the different phases, and Plate 8.1 presents this graphically.

| Phase | Without construction (DM) scenario | With construction (DS) scenario |
|-------|------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2030 DM including TFGP (DMB) | 2030 DM including TFGP plus Phase 1 construction arrangements |
| 2 | 2030 DM including TFGP (DMB) | 2030 DM including TFGP plus Phase 2 construction arrangements |
| 3 | 2030 DM including TFGP (DMB) | 2030 DM including TFGP plus Phase 3 construction arrangements |
| 4 | 2030 DM excluding TFGP (DMA) | 2030 DM excluding TFGP plus Phase 4 construction arrangements |
| 5 | 2030 DM including TFGP (DMB) | 2030 DM including TFGP plus Phase 5 construction arrangements |
| 6 | 2030 DM including TFGP (DMB) | 2030 DM excluding TFGP plus Phase 6 construction arrangements |
| 7 | 2030 DM including TFGP (DMB) | 2030 DM including TFGP plus Phase 7 construction arrangements |
| 8 | 2030 DM including TFGP (DMB) | 2030 DM including TFGP plus Phase 8 construction arrangements |
| 9 | 2030 DM excluding TFGP (DMA) | 2030 DM excluding TFGP plus Phase 9 construction arrangements |
| 10 | 2030 DM excluding TFGP (DMA) | 2030 DM excluding TFGP plus Phase 10 construction arrangements |
| 11 | 2030 DM excluding TFGP (DMA) | 2030 DM excluding TFGP plus Phase 11 construction arrangements |

| Table 8.2 Correspondence | e Between Phases and Scenarios |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|

| | 2025 | | 2 | 026 | | 2027 | | 2028 | | 202 | 9 2030 |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-----|--------|
| | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | P8 | P9 | P10 | P11 |
| Without Construction | DMB | DMB | DMB | DMA | DMB | DMB | DMB | DMB | DMA | DMA | DMA |
| 2030 DM | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TFGP Construction Traffic | | | | | | | | | | | |
| With Construction | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2030 DM | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TFGP Construction Traffic | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction traffic management (phase specific) | | | | | | | | | | | |

Plate 8.1 Construction traffic modelling scenarios

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\textcircled{\mbox{$\odot$}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Volume 7

8.3 Networks

Introduction

8.3.1 This section provides more details on how the different aspects of construction activity have been represented in the LTAM networks. It presents the proposed location of the construction compounds and the Utility Logistics Hubs (ULH), and the proposed access and egress arrangements for each compound.

Construction compounds and Utility Logistics Hubs

8.3.2 The works associated with the construction of the Project were defined in terms of a series of compounds that have been grouped into Sections. Plate 8.2 to Plate 8.4 show the compounds and ULHs in relation to the existing network and the Project alignment.





Plate 8.2 Construction compounds and Utility Logistics Hubs (1 of 3)

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Volume 7








Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{G}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Volume 7







Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Haul roads

- 8.3.3 During the construction of the Project a series of haul roads would be built for use by construction vehicles. These would generally follow the alignment of the Project road and would allow access without the need to use the local road network.
- 8.3.4 Some of the haul roads would be for use by internal construction activity only and are therefore excluded from assessment within this TA as they would not have an impact on the public road network (construction activity on these roads is considered within "Plant Activity" within the Environmental Statement (Application Document 6.1)). Some of the haul roads would be used to transfer materials between compounds or to deliver supplies from external suppliers and therefore are included within this assessment.
- 8.3.5 Table 8.3 provides the programme for when each haul road is opened and able to be used by Project related construction traffic. Plate 8.5 to Plate 8.12 show the location of each haul road.

| No. | ID | Haul road description | Phase availability |
|-----|-----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | H18 | Haul road between the A2 and Southern tunnel entrance compounds | Phase 2 – Phase 11 |
| 2 | H16 | Haul road between the Southern tunnel entrance and A226 Gravesend Road compounds | Phase 2 – Phase 11 |
| 3 | H19 | Haul road within the Northern tunnel entrance and the Station Road compounds | Phase 1 – Phase 11 |
| 3a | H25 | Haul road between the Station Road and Brentwood Road compounds | Phase 2 – Phase 11 |
| 4 | H20 | Haul road between Brentwood Road and the Stanford Road compounds | Phase 2 – Phase 11 |
| 4a | H24 | Haul road underneath the A13 | Phase 5 – Phase 11 |
| 5 | H21 | Haul road between Green Lane and Stifford Clays Road - serving the Stifford Clays Road East and Stifford Clays Road West compounds | Phase 2 – Phase 11 |
| 6 | H17 | Haul road between the Stifford Clays Road compound West and M25 temporary slip road | Phase 2 – Phase 11 |
| 7 | H22 | M25 temporary on-off slips, south of Ockendon Road (between M25 junction 29 and junction 30) | Phase 4 – Phase 11 |

Table 8.3 Programme of haul road availability in the LTAM

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Volume 7





Plate 8.5 Haul road 1 (between the A2 and Southern tunnel entrance compounds)

Plate 8.6 Haul road 2 (between the Southern tunnel entrance and A226 Gravesend Road compounds)



Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

212

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{G}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Volume 7





Plate 8.7 Haul road 3 (within the Northern tunnel entrance and Station Road compounds)

Plate 8.8 Haul road 3a (between the Station Road and Brentwood Road compounds) haul road 4 (between Brentwood Road and the Stanford Road compounds)



Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

213

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved





Plate 8.9 Haul road 4a (underneath the A13) and haul road 5 (between Green Lane and Stifford Clays Road - serving the Stifford Clays Road West and Stifford Clays Road East compounds)

Plate 8.10 Haul road 6 (haul road between the Stifford Clays Road compound West and M25 temporary slip road) – phase 2 to 4



Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

214

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Volume 7





Plate 8.11 Haul road 6 (haul road between the Stifford Clays Road compound West and M25 temporary slip road) – phase 5 to 11

Plate 8.12 Haul road 7 (M25 temporary on-off slip roads between junction 29 and junction 30 (from Phase 4))



Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Volume 7

8.4 Compounds and Utility Logistics Hubs access arrangements

- 8.4.1 Each construction compound would be provided with a preliminary access and egress arrangement.
- 8.4.2 Where traffic signals or similar would be required to facilitate construction movements, such as access to compounds and construction vehicle crossing points, they would be locally controlled to ensure that traffic on the local road network (LRN) has priority in terms of traffic movements. In addition, when the traffic signals are not required they would be turned off. For the purposes of the traffic model, most compound access points are coded with a signalised junction and the remainder are coded as priority-controlled junctions. All ULH access points have been coded as priority-controlled junctions.

Traffic management measures

8.4.3 This section provides a detailed description of each of the individual traffic management measures associated with the construction of the Project. Table 8.4 summarises the number of traffic management measures and network changes by type, and the number included in the LTAM.

Table 8.4 Number of traffic management measures and network changes by type

| Types | Included in the LTAM |
|--|----------------------|
| Narrow lanes | 9 |
| Hard shoulder closure | 0 |
| Permanent closures | 1 |
| Temporary closures | 7 |
| Lane restrictions / closures | 4 |
| Contraflow | 15 |
| 3-way signals | 0 |
| Crossing points | 13 |
| HGV bans | 8 |
| Lifting of HGV bans | 2 |
| Total traffic measures | 59 |
| Switchovers* | 18 |
| Total traffic measures and network changes | 77 |

* A 'switchover' is an event in which an existing road link is closed and a new road opened in its place on a new permanent realignment (as opposed to a temporary realignment, which would only in place for a limited period during the construction).

| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4 | 216 | Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\textcircled{\mbox{$\odot$}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved |
|---|-----|---|

- 8.4.4 Some traffic management measures have not been included in the LTAM if they meet one of the following criteria:
 - a. a relatively minor alignment change (such as a switchover to a temporary or permanent alignment)
 - b. of a short duration compared to the length of the construction model phase in which they occur, or they would occur at nights/weekends.
 - c. the road on which they would occur is not included within the LTAM (not all roads are included within the LTAM, especially where these are minor (see the Transport Model Package, as Appendix B of the ComMA (Application Document 7.7) for more information))
- 8.4.5 Further detail on the considerations made regarding the above exclusions is contained in Section 8.1 of this TA.
- 8.4.6 Table 8.5 summarises these. While not included in the construction assessment within this TA, these works would be subject to the controls as set out in the oTMPfC (Application Document 7.14).

| ID | Traffic measure | Purpose | Duration (approximately) / time of day | Reason for exclusion | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Thurrock | Thurrock | | | | | | |
| RNTM04 | Muckingford Road Closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | | | |
| RNTM07 | Hoford Road Closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Road not in the LTAM | | | |
| RNTM09 | Brentwood Road Closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Brentwood Road compound | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | | | |
| RNTM16 | A13 eastbound off-slip closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | | | |
| RNTM17 | A13 westbound on-slip closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | | | |
| RNTM18 | Rectory Road closure | Installation of new high- pressure gas pipeline | 2 weeks | Short duration | | | |
| RNTM21 | A13 closure | Bridge works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | | | |
| RNTM22 | A13 closure | Bridge demolition works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | | | |

Table 8.5 Traffic management measures excluded from the analysis

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| ID | Traffic measure | Purpose | Duration (approximately) / time of day | Reason for exclusion |
|--------|---|---|--|----------------------|
| RNTM26 | A1013 closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Stanford Road compound | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM28 | A1013 closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM32 | A1089 closure | Bridge demolition works & removal of overhead line (OHL) equipment | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM33 | A1089 closure | Bridge works & removal of OHL equipment | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM34 | A13 westbound to A1089 southbound closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM35 | Long Lane closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Long Lane compounds | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM36 | A1089 northbound off- slip to A13 westbound closure | Bridge works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM37 | A1089 closure | Bridge works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM42 | Stifford Clays Road closure | Carry out nearby works and modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary compound connections to the Stifford Clays Road compounds | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM45 | Stifford Clays Road closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM46 | A13 eastbound off-slip to A1089 southbound closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM50 | Green Lane closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Stifford Clays Road West compound | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| ID | Traffic measure | Purpose | Duration (approximately) / time of day | Reason for / exclusion | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| RNTM53 | B186 closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Medebridge and M25 compounds | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | |
| RNTM77 | A13 closure | Modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | |
| RNTM78 | Mill Lane closure | Modifications to local utility networks | Two weeks | Road not in the LTAM | |
| RNTM30 | Heath Road lane restrictions | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | One month | Short duration | |
| RNTM29 | A1089 southbound lane closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | |
| RNTM31 | A1089 northbound lane closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration | |
| RNTM03 | Muckingford Road contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks | One week | Short duration | |
| RNTM10 | Brentwood Road contraflow | Construction access works & installation of temporary connections to the Brentwood Road compound | One month | Short duration | |
| RNTM14 | A1013 contraflow | Construction of a new permanent access & modifications to local utility networks | One month | Short duration | |
| RNTM44 | Stifford Clays Road contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Stifford Clays Road compounds | Two weeks | Short duration, and impacts covered by RNTM43 | |
| RNTM47 | Stifford Clays Road contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks | One week | Short duration, and impacts covered by RNTM43 | |
| RNTM55 | B186 contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Medebridge and M25 compounds | | Short duration | |
| TUTM07 | Coopers Shaw Road contraflow | Modifications to local utility networks | One month | Short duration | |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| ID | Traffic measure | Purpose | Duration (approximately) / time of day | Reason for exclusion |
|--------|---|---|--|---|
| TUTM08 | Rectory Road/ Church Road/ Station Road contraflow | Modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the northern tunnel entrance compound | One month | Short duration |
| TUTM09 | Station Road contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Northern tunnel entrance compound | | Short duration |
| TUTM10 | Station Road contraflow | Carry out nearby works & removal of OHL equipment | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM49 | Stifford Clays Road contraflow (300m sections) | Modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Stifford Clays Road West compound | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM79 | Hornsby Lane contraflow (300m sections) | Modifications to local utility networks | Two months | Short duration |
| RNTM06 | Hoford Road crossing point | Allow construction vehicles to cross | Until access under overbridge | Road not in the LTAM |
| RNTM08 | Hoford Road crossing point | Allow construction vehicles to cross | Until access under overbridge | Road not in the LTAM |
| RNTM25 | Mill Lane crossing point | Allow construction vehicles to cross | Until A13 eastbound tie in works | Road not in the LTAM |
| RNTM82 | Hoford Road switchover | Switch to permanent alignment | Weekend | Road not in the LTAM |
| RNTM89 | Stifford Clays Road switchover | Switch to permanent alignment | Weekend | Actual location of crossing point means that switchover would not impact crossing point. |
| RNTM93 | Brentwood Road temporary switchover | Switch to temporary alignment | Weekend | Minor temporary realignment |
| RNTM94 | Stifford Clays Road temporary switchover | Switch to temporary alignment | Weekend | Minor temporary realignment |
| RNTM95 | Green Lane temporary switchover | Switch to temporary alignment | Weekend | Minor temporary realignment |
| RNTM96 | B186 North Road temporary switchover | Switch to temporary alignment | Weekend | Minor temporary realignment |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| ID | Traffic measure | Purpose | Duration (approximately) / time of day | Reason for exclusion |
|----------|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| RNTM98 | Baker Street closure | Bridge works | Nights/Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM99 | Gun Hill Closure | Modification to local utility networks | Two weeks | Short duration |
| RNTM100 | Coopers Shaw Road closure | Modifications to local utility networks | Weekend | Short duration |
| RNTM102 | A13 eastbound lane closure | Modifications to local utility networks | Nights/weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM104 | A128 layby access closure | Modification to existing field access | Two months | Layby not in the LTAM |
| HB7 | School Lane HGV ban | HGV ban for earthworks and deliveries from Mill Lane to Rectory Road | Full construction period | Not in the LTAM |
| Brentwoo | bd | | | |
| RNTM76 | A127 closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM70 | B186 contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks | Four weeks | Short duration |
| RNTM103 | B186 contraflow | Modifications to existing field access | Two weeks | Short duration |
| Gravesha | am | | | |
| RSTM41 | Pepper Hill & Roman Road closure | Modifications to local utility networks | One month | Short duration |
| RSTM14 | A2 eastbound hard shoulder closure | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks | Two Weeks | Short duration |
| RSTM06 | A2 westbound off- slip closure | Permanent closure to new alignment | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM07 | A2 closure | Bridge widening works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM08 | Hever Court Road closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM11 | A2 eastbound on- slip closure | Permanent closure to new alignment & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM12 | Singlewell substation access road closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM13 | A2 closure | New bridge works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM18 | A2 closure | New bridge works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| ID | Traffic measure | Purpose | Duration (approximately) / time of day | Reason for exclusion |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| RSTM19 | A2 closure | Bridge demolition works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM20 | Thong Lane closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM21 | Thong Lane closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM26 | A2 closure | Bridge demolition works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM27 | A2 eastbound off- slip closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM29 | A2 eastbound on- slip closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM30 | Park Pale closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Road not in the LTAM |
| RSTM32 | Thong Lane closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM38 | Brewers Road switchover | Switch to permanent alignment | Weekend | Minor realignment |
| RSTM01 | | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Two weeks | Short duration |
| RSTM05 | Henhurst Road closures and lane restrictions | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM04 | Gravesend East junction (Bridge) lane restrictions | Carry out bridge widening & modifications to local utility networks | Four months | Assume no narrowing or reduction in speed limit (the road is wide enough; it would be reduced but unlikely to be below substandard i.e. 3.65m) |
| RSTM10 | Valley Drive lane closure | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RSTM16 | Thong Lane contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the A2 compound | | Short duration |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| ID | Traffic measure | Purpose | Duration (approximately) / time of day | Reason for exclusion |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| RSTM17 | Thong Lane contraflow | Modifications to local utility networks | Two months | Short duration |
| RSTM31 | Park Pale contraflow | Carry out nearby works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Road not in the LTAM |
| TUTM01 | A226 contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Southern tunnel entrance and A226 Gravesend Road compounds | Four weeks | Short duration |
| TUTM03 | Lower Higham Road contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks | Two weeks | Short duration |
| TUTM04 | Lower Higham Road contraflow | Modifications to local utility networks | Two weeks | Short duration |
| Havering | | | | |
| RNTM63 | M25 closure | Bridge works & removal of OHL equipment | Nights | Short duration |
| RNTM69 | St Marys Lane closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM71 | M25 southbound on-slip closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM72 | M25 northbound off-slip closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM73 | A127 westbound off-slip closure | Carry out nearby works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM75 | A127 closure | Bridge works & modifications to local utility networks | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| RNTM59 | Ockendon Road contraflow | Construction access works & modifications to local utility networks & installation of temporary connections to the Ockendon Road compound | Two weeks | Short duration |
| RNTM106 | A127 & slips closure | Bridge works | Nights / Weekends | Short duration |
| Essex / H | Essex / Havering | | | |
| J28-B | A12 eastbound off-slip entry to junction 28 inside lane closure | Narrow Lanes | Six + Four months, Completed before Jan 2024 | Traffic measures completed before 2024 |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Principles of network coding

8.4.7 Principles of the network coding changes made within the LTAM that have been applied to the different types of traffic management measures, are set out below.

Narrow lanes

- 8.4.8 Narrow lanes are normally implemented to facilitate main carriageway working on the strategic road network (SRN). The narrowing of lanes leads to a reduction in mainline capacity and is usually accompanied by a reduced speed limit through the narrow lanes section.
- 8.4.9 In order to represent the narrow lanes sections in the LTAM, the reduced capacity needs to be identified and a new speed limit allocated.
- 8.4.10 Traditionally, narrow lanes on the SRN have been accompanied by a reduced maximum speed of 50mph. Recently, National Highways has released new guidance suggesting that 60mph would be appropriate in certain locations (where it is considered safe to do so). As a result of discussions, it has been agreed that the only narrow lanes section that could operate at 60mph safely would be the narrow lanes on the M25 and the narrow lanes on the A13. A 60mph speed limit has therefore been imposed in the narrow lane sections on the M25 and the narrow lane sections on the M25 and the narrow lane sections on the A13. A 50mph speed limit has been imposed in all other narrow lane locations.

Road closures

- 8.4.11 There are a number of road closures, for differing lengths of time, during the Project's construction programme. Short-term closures (those which occur for less than half the duration of a phase) have not been included in the LTAM analysis. The longer-term road closures are represented in the LTAM as a series of bans to stop vehicles using the closed road.
- 8.4.12 If existing bus routes use the closed roads, these have been diverted around the road closure.

Contraflows

8.4.13 Contraflows are represented in the LTAM through the use of traffic signals and a reduced capacity along the road.

Traffic Management

8.4.14 Figures showing all the proposed traffic management measures included in the traffic modelling are presented in Appendix E. These are schematic in nature and show the general principle of the proposed traffic management. They are not intended to show the precise locations of specific elements.

8.5 Network changes

8.5.1 This section details the modelled traffic management measures and network coding changes in each of the construction modelling phases.

Phase 1

8.5.2 Table 8.6 lists the 24 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.13 shows these geographically.

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|
| Traffic mana | gement | |
| New to this p | hase | |
| RSTM02 | Gravesend East junction (north) | Lane restrictions |
| RSTM03 | Gravesend East junction (south) | Lane restrictions |
| RNTM12 | Brentwood Road | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RSTM24 | Halfpence Lane | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RNTM60 | Ockendon Road | Contraflow |
| RNTM43 | Stifford Clays Road | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RNTM13 | Medebridge Road | Lane restrictions |
| RSTM09 | Valley Drive | Contraflow |
| RNTM05 | Marshfoot Road/Chadwell Hill/Brentwood Road | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM41 | High Road | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RNTM52 | Fen Lane/Green Lane | Closure (in sections) |
| RNTM56 | B186 | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RNTM80 | Baker Street | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB10 | Stifford Clays Road | HGV ban lifted |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road | HGV ban lifted |
| Network cha | nges | |
| No network c | hanges | |




Plate 8.13 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 1

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

8.5.3 Table 8.7 lists the 28 traffic management measures that are included in Phase 2 and Plate 8.14 shows these geographically.

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|--------------|---|----------------------------|
| Traffic mana | gement | |
| New to this | phase | |
| RNTM54 | B186 North Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM02 | Muckingford Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM11 | Brentwood Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM19 | Rectory Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM48 | Stifford Clays Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM68 | St Marys Lane | Contraflow |
| RSTM33 | Thong Lane | Crossing point |
| TUTM02 | A226 | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| Carried over | from previous phase | |
| RSTM03 | Gravesend East junction (south) | Lane restrictions |
| RNTM05 | Marshfoot Road/Chadwell Hill/Brentwood Road | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM52 | Fen Lane/Green Lane | Closure (in sections) |
| RNTM56 | B186 | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road | HGV ban lifted |
| Network cha | inges | |
| New to this | phase | |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (north) | Switchover |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4




Plate 8.14 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 2

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Table 8.8 lists the 33 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.15 shows these geographically. 8.5.4

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|-------------|---|---|
| Traffic ma | nagement | |
| New to this | s phase | |
| RNTM38 | Baker Street | Closure |
| RNTM64 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM74 | A127 | Narrow lanes, 50mph |
| RNTM61 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM62 | M25 northbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM01 | Muckingford Road | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RNTM15 | Orsett Cock junction | Lane restrictions |
| RSTM28 | Brewers Road & Park Pale | Contraflow |
| TUTM11 | Love Lane/Princess Margaret Road/Station Road | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| Carried ov | er from previous phase | |
| RNTM54 | B186 North Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM02 | Muckingford Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM11 | Brentwood Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM19 | Rectory Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM48 | Stifford Clays Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM68 | St Marys Lane | Contraflow |
| RSTM33 | Thong Lane | Crossing point |
| TUTM02 | A226 | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road (7.5 tonne ban) | HGV ban lifted |
| Network c | hanges | |
| Carried ov | er from previous phase | |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East Junction (northern section) | Switchover |
| | rate Scheme Ref: TR010032 nent Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 r 2023 229 | Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved |

Application Document Re DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4




Plate 8.15 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 3

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

8.5.5 Table 8.9 lists the 30 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.16 shows these geographically.

Table 8.9 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 4

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|--------------|---|----------------------------|
| Traffic man | agement | |
| New to this | phase | |
| RNTM58 | Ockendon Road | Closure |
| RNTM23 | A1013 | Contraflow |
| Carried over | r from previous phase | |
| RNTM38 | Baker Street | Closure |
| RNTM64 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM74 | A127 | Narrow lanes, 50mph |
| RNTM54 | B186 North Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM61 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM62 | M25 northbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM01 | Muckingford Road | Contraflow (300m sections) |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM02 | Muckingford Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM11 | Brentwood Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM19 | Rectory Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM48 | Stifford Clays Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RSTM33 | Thong Lane | Crossing point |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road | HGV ban lifted |
| Network ch | anges | |
| Carried over | r from previous phase | |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (north) | Switchover |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved




Plate 8.16 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 4

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

8.5.6 Table 8.10 lists the 33 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.17 shows these geographically.

Table 8.10 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 5

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|--------------|---|----------------------------|
| Traffic mana | agement | |
| New to this | phase | |
| RNTM65 | M25 northbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM107 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM108 | A1013 | Crossing point |
| Carried ove | r from previous phase | · |
| RNTM58 | Ockendon Road | Closure |
| RNTM64 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM74 | A127 | Narrow lanes, 50mph |
| RNTM23 | A1013 | Contraflow |
| RNTM54 | B186 North Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM11 | Brentwood Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM19 | Rectory Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM48 | Stifford Clays Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road (7.5 tonne ban) | HGV ban lifted |
| Network cha | anges | |
| New to this | phase | |
| RNTM81 | Muckingford Road | Switchover |
| RNTM84 | Heath Road & A1013 | Switchover |
| RSTM22 | A2 westbound on-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM23 | A2 westbound off-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM39 | Thong Lane (over the Project) | Switchover |
| RNTM97 | Baker Street | Switchover |
| | r from previous phase | T |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (northern section) | Switchover |
| | to Scheme Def TD010022 | |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4




Plate 8.17 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 5

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Table 8.11 lists the 35 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.18 shows these geographically. 8.5.7

Table 8.11 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 6

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|---|---|---|
| Traffic man | agement | - |
| New to this | phase | |
| RSTM15 | A2 | Narrow lanes, 50mph |
| RSTM25 | Brewers Road | Closure |
| Carried ove | r from previous phase | |
| RNTM58 | Ockendon Road | Closure |
| RNTM65 | M25 northbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM64 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM74 | A127 | Narrow lanes, 50mph |
| RNTM54 | B186 North Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM11 | Brentwood Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM19 | Rectory Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM48 | Stifford Clays Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road (7.5 tonne ban) | HGV ban lifted |
| RNTM107 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM108 | A1013 | Crossing point |
| Network ch | anges | <u>.</u> |
| Carried ove | r from previous phase | |
| RNTM81 | Muckingford Road | Switchover |
| RNTM84 | Heath Road & A1013 | Switchover |
| RSTM22 | A2 westbound on-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| Planning Inspectora Application Docume DATE: September 2 DEADLINE: 4 | te Scheme Ref: TR010032 nrt Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 235 | Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © - 20 National Highways Limited – all rights reserv |

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------|
| RSTM23 | A2 westbound off-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (northern section) | Switchover |
| RSTM39 | Thong Lane (over the Project) | Switchover |
| RNTM97 | Baker Street | Switchover |
| New to this phase | | |
| RSTM35 | A2 eastbound | Switchover |




Plate 8.18 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 6

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Table 8.12 lists the 36 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.19 shows these geographically. 8.5.8

| Table 8.12 Traffic measures and | I network changes | modelled in Phase 7 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|--------------|---|---|
| Traffic mana | gement | |
| New to this | bhase | |
| RNTM105 | M25 slips | Narrow Lanes, 50mph |
| Carried over | from previous phase | |
| RSTM15 | A2 | Narrow lanes, 50mph |
| RSTM25 | Brewers Road | Closure |
| RNTM58 | Ockendon Road | Closure |
| RNTM65 | M25 northbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM64 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM74 | A127 | Narrow lanes, 50mph |
| RNTM54 | B186 North Road | Crossing Point |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing Point |
| RNTM19 | Rectory Road | Crossing Point |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Perm closure |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing Point |
| RNTM48 | Stifford Clays Road | Crossing Point |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing Point |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing Point |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing Point |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV Ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV Ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV Ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV Ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV Ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV Ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV Ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV Ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road (7.5 tonne ban) | HGV Ban lifted |
| RNTM107 | Baker Street | Crossing Point |
| RNTM108 | A1013 | Crossing Point |
| Network cha | nges | |
| Carried over | from previous phase | |
| RNTM81 | Muckingford Road | Switchover |
| RNTM84 | Heath Road & A1013 | Switchover |
| RSTM22 | A2 westbound on-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| | e Scheme Ref: TR010032 t Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 23 238 | Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © - 202 National Highways Limited – all rights reserve |

Application Document Ro DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| RSTM23 | A2 westbound off-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (north) | Switchover |
| RSTM35 | A2 eastbound | Switchover |
| RNTM97 | Baker Street | Switchover |
| New to this phase | | |
| RNTM83 | Brentwood Road | Switchover |
| RSTM40 | Thong Lane (over the Project) | Switchover |




Plate 8.19 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 7

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

8.5.9 Table 8.13 lists the 37 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.20 shows these geographically.

| Table 8.13 Traffic measures and | I network changes | modelled in Phase 8 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| Traffic management | | | |
| New this phase | New this phase | | |
| None | | | |
| Carried over f | rom previous phase | | |
| RSTM15 | A2 | Narrow lanes, 50mph | |
| RSTM25 | Brewers Road | Closure | |
| RNTM65 | M25 northbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph | |
| RNTM64 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph | |
| RNTM74 | A127 | Narrow lanes, 50mph | |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point | |
| RNTM19 | Rectory Road | Crossing point | |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure | |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing point | |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point | |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing point | |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point | |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban | |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban | |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban | |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban | |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban | |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban | |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban | |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban | |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road (7.5 tonne ban) | HGV ban lifted | |
| RNTM105 | M25 Slips | Narrow Lanes, 50mph | |
| RNTM107 | Baker Street | Crossing point | |
| RNTM108 | A1013 | Crossing point | |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| Vol | lume | 7 |
|-----|------|---|
| | | |

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure | |
|--------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| Network cha | Network changes | | |
| New to this | phase | | |
| RNTM89 | Stifford Clays Road | Switchover | |
| RNTM91 | B186 North Road | Switchover | |
| RNTM92 | Ockendon Road | Switchover | |
| RSTM36 | A2 westbound | Switchover | |
| Carried over | from previous phase | | |
| RNTM81 | Muckingford Road | Switchover | |
| RNTM83 | Brentwood Road | Switchover | |
| RNTM84 | Heath Road & A1013 | Switchover | |
| RSTM22 | A2 westbound on-slip | Closure/Switchover | |
| RSTM23 | A2 westbound off-slip | Closure/Switchover | |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (northern section) | Switchover | |
| RSTM35 | A2 eastbound | Switchover | |
| RSTM40 | Thong Lane (over the Project) | Switchover | |
| RNTM97 | Baker Street | Switchover | |




Plate 8.20 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 8

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

8.5.10 Table 8.14 lists the 39 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.21 shows these geographically.

| Table 8.14 Traffic measures | s and network changes | modelled in Phase 9 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Traffic mana | gement | |
| New to this phase | | |
| RNTM20 | Rectory Road | Closure |
| RNTM24b | A13 westbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| Carried over | from previous phase | |
| RSTM15 | A2 | Narrow lanes, 50mph |
| RNTM65 | M25 northbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM64 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM19 | Rectory Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road | HGV ban lifted |
| RNTM105 | M25 slips | Narrow Lanes, 50mph |
| RNTM107 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM108 | A1013 | Crossing point |

| Volume | e 7 |
|--------|-----|

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Network changes | | |
| New to this phase | | |
| RNTM90 | Green Lane | Switchover |
| RSTM37 | Thong Lane (over the A2) | Switchover |
| Carried ove | r from previous phase | |
| RNTM81 | Muckingford Road | Switchover |
| RNTM83 | Brentwood Road | Switchover |
| RNTM84 | Heath Road & A1013 | Switchover |
| RNTM89 | Stifford Clays Road | Switchover |
| RNTM91 | B186 North Road | Switchover |
| RNTM92 | Ockendon Road | Switchover |
| RSTM22 | A2 westbound on-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM23 | A2 westbound off-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (northern section) | Switchover |
| RSTM35 | A2 eastbound | Switchover |
| RSTM36 | A2 westbound | Switchover |
| RSTM40 | Thong Lane (over the Project) | Switchover |
| RNTM97 | Baker Street | Switchover |




Plate 8.21 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 9

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Table 8.15 lists the 36 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.22 shows these geographically. 8.5.11

Table 8.15 Traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 10

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|---------------|---|---|
| Traffic mana | gement | |
| New to this p | phase | |
| RNTM24a | A13 eastbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| Carried over | from previous phase | |
| RNTM65 | M25 northbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM64 | M25 southbound | Narrow lanes, 60mph |
| RNTM66 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM39 | Baker Street | Crossing point |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point |
| RNTM57 | Ockendon Road | Crossing point |
| RNTM67 | St Marys Lane | Crossing point |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road (7.5 tonne ban) | HGV ban lifted |
| Network cha | nges | |
| Carried over | from previous phase | |
| RNTM81 | Muckingford Road | Switchover |
| RNTM83 | Brentwood Road | Switchover |
| RNTM84 | Heath Road & A1013 | Switchover |
| RNTM89 | Stifford Clays Road | Switchover |
| RNTM90 | Green Lane | Switchover |
| RNTM91 | B186 North Road | Switchover |
| RNTM92 | Ockendon Road | Switchover |
| RSTM22 | A2 westbound on-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM23 | A2 westbound off-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (northern section) | Switchover |
| | e Scheme Ref: TR010032 It Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 223 247 | Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © - 202 National Highways Limited – all rights reserve |

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| RSTM35 | A2 eastbound | Switchover | |
| RSTM36 | A2 westbound | Switchover | |
| RSTM37 | Thong Lane (over the A2) | Switchover | |
| RSTM40 | Thong Lane (over the Project) | Switchover | |
| New to this phase | | | |
| RNTM85 | Baker Street | Switchover | |
| RNTM86 | A13 westbound to A1089 southbound | Switchover | |
| RNTM87 | Rectory Road | Switchover | |
| RNTM88 | A13 westbound on-slip | Switchover | |




Plate 8.22 Map of traffic measures and network changes modelled in Phase 10

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Table 8.16 lists the 29 traffic management measures that are included in this phase and Plate 8.23 shows these geographically. 8.5.12

| ID | Road | Traffic Management Measure |
|--------------|---|----------------------------|
| Traffic mana | igement | |
| New to this | phase | |
| None | | |
| Carried over | from previous phase | |
| RNTM27 | Hornsby Lane | Permanent closure |
| RNTM51 | Green Lane | Crossing point |
| HB1 | Thong Lane (urban section to Astra Drive) | HGV ban |
| HB2 | Brewers Road, The Ridgeway, Peartree Lane | HGV ban |
| HB3 | The Street | HGV ban |
| HB4 | Lower Higham Road | HGV ban |
| HB5 | Castle Lane | HGV ban |
| HB6 | Rectory Road | HGV ban |
| HB8 | B188 High Road | HGV ban |
| HB9 | Prince Charles Avenue | HGV ban |
| HB11 | North end of Brentwood Road (7.5 tonne ban) | HGV ban lifted |
| Network cha | inges | |
| Carried over | from previous phase | |
| RNTM81 | Muckingford Road | Switchover |
| RNTM83 | Brentwood Road | Switchover |
| RNTM84 | Heath Road & A1013 | Switchover |
| RNTM85 | Baker Street | Switchover |
| RNTM86 | A13 westbound to A1089 southbound | Switchover |
| RNTM87 | Rectory Road | Switchover |
| RNTM88 | A13 westbound on-slip | Switchover |
| RNTM89 | Stifford Clays Road | Switchover |
| RNTM90 | Green Lane | Switchover |
| RNTM91 | B186 North Road | Switchover |
| RNTM92 | Ockendon Road | Switchover |
| RSTM22 | A2 westbound on-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM23 | A2 westbound off-slip | Closure/Switchover |
| RSTM34 | Gravesend East junction (northern section) | Switchover |
| RSTM35 | A2 eastbound | Switchover |
| RSTM36 | A2 westbound | Switchover |
| RSTM37 | Thong Lane (over the A2) | Switchover |
| RSTM40 | Thong Lane (over the Project) | Switchover |
| New to this | phase | |
| None | | |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4




Plate 8.23 Map of traffic Measures and network changes modelled in Phase 11

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

8.6 **Construction vehicles**

- 8.6.1 The vehicular demand associated with construction is represented in the following five ways:
 - a. Compound to compound earthwork movements
 - b. Compound to external earthwork movements
 - c. Deliveries to/from external suppliers
 - d. Light Goods Vehicle (LGV) movements
 - e. Staff movements

Compound to compound earthwork movements

- 8.6.2 These are movements of earthworks between different construction compounds. This includes earthwork movements associated with both the main works and enabling works. An allowance has also been made for site mobilisation and demobilisation, by adding movements to the first six and last six months of the construction programme. The capacities of different vehicles were then applied in order to convert the earthwork volumes into vehicular values.
- 8.6.3 Some of the earthworks would be kept within the same compound and are therefore not included in the traffic calculations. Some of the earthworks would need to be moved to other compounds, either using haul roads, or the public road network. These types of movements were included within the traffic calculations.
- 8.6.4 The demand was calculated for each individual phase using the schedule of movements by month for each phase. Initially these are represented as daily movements, which are then converted into the number of vehicles per hour, assuming that the vehicle movements are spread evenly across the day from 07:00 to 19:00. This means that 8.3% of the daily HGVs are attributed to each of the model hours.
- 8.6.5 These movements are represented in the LTAM as a series of fixed routes where each compound to compound movement has been allocated a route which would be used to transfer the earth.
- 8.6.6 In order to reflect current levels of uncertainty regarding the total amount of traffic generated from each compound, and to ensure a robust assessment, an additional 20% was added to the forecast number of construction related HGVs.
- 8.6.7 In the LTAM, the capacity of each part of the road network is given as the number of Passenger Car Units (PCUs) that can use each road link in the model each hour, which is an industry standard approach. Cars and vans are defined as 1 PCU and HGVs are considered to be equivalent to 2.5 PCUs, because they take up more road space.

8.6.8 The number of HGVs were converted into PCU values for use in the LTAM, using a factor of 2.5. Both directions of movement (outward and return) were included in the LTAM. For the return trip it was assumed that the vehicle would return to the compound from which it originated.

Compound to external earthwork movements

- 8.6.9 Some of the spoil/excavated material described above would need to be transported to external locations. At the time of undertaking this work, the precise location of these external destinations is not known and therefore these have been allocated to a set of notional destinations which are representative of travel away from the construction compounds to the north, south, east or west.
- 8.6.10 These external locations were defined differently for compounds which are south and north of the River Thames. Spoil/excavated material from north of the River Thames are proposed to go to locations north of the River Thames and likewise for earthworks from south of the River Thames. Plate 8.24 shows these destinations.



Plate 8.24 External destinations for spoil/excavated material

8.6.11 It has been assumed that these HGV movements occur evenly between 07:00 and 19:00.

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

- Volume 7
- 8.6.12 In order to reflect the current levels of uncertainty regarding the total amount of traffic generated from each compound, and to ensure a robust assessment, an additional 20% was added to the HGV traffic volume. The number of HGVs were also converted into PCU values for use in the LTAM using a factor of 2.5. Both directions of movement (outward and return) are shown in the LTAM. For the return trip it has been assumed that the vehicle would return to the compound from which it originated.

Deliveries from external suppliers

- 8.6.13 The final element of HGV demand associated with the construction of the Project relates to deliveries from external suppliers. The type and quantities of goods required across a range of different commodities has been allocated to each of the compounds. This includes deliveries associated with both the main works and enabling works. The deliveries have been estimated based on the developing bill of quantities for the works, and assumptions about the timing of the activities and the capacity of the HGVs. While there are uncertainties over the exact programme and design requirements, these assumptions are considered to be proportionate and reasonable for this stage of the planning, to create a reasonable worst case.
- 8.6.14 The actual sourcing of the products is unknown, as the final supply chain will be defined through the procurement process following appointment of the Contractor. Therefore, it is necessary to make assumptions regarding the sourcing of deliveries. The approach taken has been to select a series of representative supplier locations, based on a combination of known distribution centres and a wider network of sources defined by geographical direction. This is a reasonable approach to handle the current uncertainty as it is likely to be representative of the diverse supply chains that would be needed for the construction of the Project.
- 8.6.15 A profile showing the total amount of goods that would be delivered throughout the construction programme was used to determine the volume of commodities required in each of the 11 construction traffic modelling phases.
- 8.6.16 All of these movements would use the external road network and were included in the LTAM. A series of external locations were identified which represent typical places from which these commodities would be sourced. Plate 8.25 shows these locations.
- 8.6.17 It should be noted that the "north", "east", "south" and "west" locations are representative; at this stage the exact locations of material sources for these are unknown, as they could come from a wide variety of locations, for example deliveries from "north" could come from anywhere in the north of England. The locations assumed in the Plate 8.25 were selected in order to ensure that the HGV delivery trips coming from those cardinal points would enter the modelled network at a representative position.
- 8.6.18 The assumptions made accord with the "baseline commitment" as set out in the outline Materials Handling Plan (Application Document 6.3), which states that the Project shall utilise port facilities for at least 80% by weight of bulk aggregates imported to the north portal construction area.




Plate 8.25 External supplier locations

- 8.6.19 The peak period for deliveries to compounds is forecast to be between 08:00 and 09:00, whereas the LTAM AM peak hour is 07:00 to 08:00. This would result in the peak delivery movements not being accounted for in the LTAM, underestimating their impact on traffic conditions. Therefore, for the purposes of traffic modelling, the AM peak delivery vehicles to compounds were assigned to the 07:00 to 08:00 peak hour in the LTAM and are therefore accounted for in the traffic modelling. This is considered to be a robust approach.
- 8.6.20 For deliveries from external suppliers arriving at compound sites, 25% are assumed to occur in the LTAM AM peak hour, 7.5% in the LTAM inter-peak hour and 7.5% in the LTAM PM peak hour.
- 8.6.21 For return trips leaving compound sites to external suppliers, 9.1% of these trips are assumed to occur in each of the LTAM AM peak hour, inter-peak hour and PM peak hour.
- 8.6.22 The LTAM calculates the routes that each of these HGVs would take, making use of both the Project's haul roads and the public road network.
- 8.6.23 In order to reflect the current levels of uncertainty regarding the total amount of HGV traffic generated from each compound, and to ensure a robust assessment, an additional 20% was added to the HGV traffic volumes. The number of HGV vehicles was also converted into PCU values for use in the LTAM using a factor of 2.5. Both directions of movement (outward and return) are reflected in the LTAM. For the return trip it is assumed that the vehicle would return to its origin.

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

LGV

8.6.24 There are two groups of LGVs considered within the project assessment:

- a. specialist contractors; and
- b. LGV deliveries
- 8.6.25 Specialist contractors would come to site in LGVs carrying their equipment. These LGVs are included within the forecast staff travel numbers, with both cars and LGVs having a PCU value of 1 within the LTAM.
- 8.6.26 In addition some LGVs would deliver materials. The number of forecast LGV delivery trips per compound is forecast at 15-25 trips per day depending on the size of the compound. These volumes, converted to hourly flows are insignificant in the context of a strategic model so have not been modelled.

Staff

- 8.6.27 Estimates of the number of staff in each compound throughout the construction programme have been derived, both for the enabling works and main works but for assessment purposes these were added together.
- 8.6.28 The total number of workers, at the peak phase of construction, are shown in Table 8.17. Some workers would be accommodated onsite in the north. The remaining workers are expected to live within 90 minutes travel time from the construction site.
- 8.6.29 For the origin of the staff trips a series of journey time assumptions were adopted. It was assumed that 28% of staff would travel from within 30 minutes of their work compound. This assumption ensured that the effective number of staff requiring accommodation within 30 minutes of a northern site (which effectively serves as a proxy for the Thurrock local authority area) was capped in line with the accommodation strategy. The remaining staff would travel in, from between 30-90 minutes away, with 75% of those travelling 30-60 minutes and 25% travelling 60-90 minutes. Effectively this is an overall percentage split of 28, 54 and 18, for the three respective bands.
- 8.6.30 For more information on the Project's construction workforce and the assumptions made above, see the Worker Accommodation Report (Application Document 7.18).

| Volume 7 | |
|----------|--|
|----------|--|

Table 8.17 Workforce numbers

| | North (at peak) | South (at peak) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total workers | 3,802 | 885 |
| Home-based | 1,331 | 310 |
| Onsite | 480 | 0 |
| Requiring accommodation | 1,991 | 575 |

8.6.31 Assumptions were made regarding the modal share of workers accessing compounds, based upon an assumed number of available parking spaces and the likely vehicle occupancy These assumptions are:

- a. at compounds with fewer than 50 workers, all workers would arrive by car.
- b. at compounds with 50 100 workers, the number of cars would be 80% of the number of workers
- c. at compounds with over 100 workers this figure would fall to 70%.
- 8.6.32 Table 8.18 provides the modal share assumptions applied in the assessment for each compound.

Table 8.18 Modal share assumptions by compound/ ULH

| Destination | Car driver (%) |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Marling Cross compound | 80 |
| A2 compound, A2 West Utility Hub, and A2 East Utility Hub | 70 |
| Southern tunnel entrance compound and Shorne Ifield Road Utility Hub | 70 |
| A226 Gravesend Road compound | 100 |
| Milton compound | 100 |
| Northern tunnel entrance compound | 70 |
| Station Road compound | 100 |
| Brentwood Road compound, and Brentwood Road Utility Hub | 70 |
| Stanford Road compound, and Hornsby Lane Utility Hub | 80 |
| Long Lane compounds A and B, and Long Lane Utility Hub | 80 |
| Stifford Clays Road compound West, Green Lane Utility Hub, and Stifford Clays Road Utility Hub | 80 |
| Stifford Clays Road compound East | 70 |
| Mardyke compound | 80 |
| Medebridge compound, and Medebridge Utility Hub | 70 |
| M25 compound | 70 |
| Ockendon Road compound | 80 |
| Warley Street compound | 70 |
| Beredens Lane Utility Hub | 100 |
| Folkes Lane Utility Hub | 100 |
| Stanford Road Utility Hub | 100 |
| Muckingford Road Utility Hub | 100 |
| Low Street Lane Utility Hub | 100 |
| Park Pale Lane Utility Hub | 100 |
| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 | an printed - Copyright @ - 2023 |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

257

- 8.6.33 However, the Project is committed to reducing the impact of its workforce on the road network, more details of which are set out in the Framework Construction Travel Plan (Application Document 7.13).
- 8.6.34 The level of demand in each individual phase was calculated by averaging over level of demand for each of the months within that phase. If, in a given month, there were no workers allocated to a site, then that month was excluded from the average for the phase. In effect, this means that the average for a phase is representative only of the months within a phase where the site was active, rather than as a true average across all months of the phase. For example, if a site is open for one month of a four month phase, with 100 workers in that month, then the average calculated for the phase would be 100, not 25.
- 8.6.35 A temporal profile (representing the start and end times of each working shift) was used to convert the daily values into the LTAM peak hours. Most compounds use the same temporal profile, with these compounds operating to the same shift pattern, which is a daytime shift.
- 8.6.36 For the compounds associated with tunnelling (southern tunnel entrance and northern tunnel entrance compounds) three different shift patterns are proposed. These are:
 - a. Daytime: This is the 'standard' daytime working pattern, common to tunnelling and non-tunnelling sites.
 - b. Extended daytime: This is a second shift in addition to the daytime shift. This only occurs during the six months of the year when extra daylight hours allow.
 - c. 24hr shift: This is itself comprised of three separate shifts, allowing for a continuous presence on site throughout each 24hr period. There is also a further shift 'in reserve' representing those people on the 24hr shift who are on leave, but these are away from site on any given day and so are not included in the traffic modelling.
- 8.6.37 The proposed shift patterns do not fully align with the LTAM time periods. For example, the tunnel and non-tunnel workforce daily shift is proposed to end at 18:00 which would mean that all staff departures would occur between 18:00 and 19:00, whereas the LTAM PM peak time period is from 17:00 to 18:00. This means that no staff would be modelled as leaving site in the LTAM PM peak and their impact on traffic conditions would be ignored. Therefore, for modelling purposes, the shift has been modelled with the departure time as between 17:00 and 18:00 so that the impact of staff leaving site is included within the analysis. Similar changes to the arrival/departure times were made for the other shift types to ensure that these are included in the traffic modelling.
- 8.6.38 Table 8.19 to Table 8.21 show the assumed staff temporal distribution for each of the different shift types. Applying these profiles leads to a frequency of each movement in each time period in each phase. All profiles are defined in terms of number of workers per shift, thus the profiles sum to 1 for daytime and extended daytime, and 3 for the 24hr shift.

Volume 7

| Time of day | Modelled period | Departures | Departures | | |
|---------------|--------------------|------------|------------|--------|----------|
| | | Actual | Modelled | Actual | Modelled |
| 07:00 - 08:00 | Yes (AM peak) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 08:00 - 09:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 09:00 - 10:00 | Yes (Average Inter | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| 10:00 - 11:00 | peak) | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| 11:00 - 12:00 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12:00 - 13:00 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13:00 - 14:00 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| 14:00 - 15:00 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| 15:00 - 16:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16:00 - 17:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 17:00 - 18:00 | Yes (PM peak) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 18:00 - 19:00 | No | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

Table 8.19 Staff temporal profile – staff non tunnel, and tunnel daytime shift

| Table 9 20 Staff tom | noral profile | staff tunnal avta | ndod davtimo shift |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Table 8.20 Staff tem | poral profile – | stan tunnel exte | nded daytime shift |

| Time of day | Modelled period | Departure | Departures | | |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|--------|--------------|
| | | Actual | Modelled | Actual | Modelled |
| 07:00 - 08:00 | Yes (AM peak) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 08:00 - 09:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 09:00 - 10:00 | Yes (Average Inter | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| 10:00 - 11:00 | Peak) | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 11:00 - 12:00 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | (all assumed |
| 12:00 - 13:00 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | to occur in |
| 13:00 - 14:00 | | 0.0 | | 1.0 | 1 hour) |
| 14:00 - 15:00 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| 15:00 - 16:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16:00 - 17:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 17:00 - 18:00 | Yes (PM Peak) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 18:00 - 19:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19:00 - 20:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20:00 - 21:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 21:00 - 22:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22:00 - 23:00 | No | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 23:00 - 00:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\textcircled{\mbox{$\odot$}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

| Time of day | Modelled period | Departures | | Arrivals | |
|---------------|-----------------|------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| | | Actual | Modelled | Actual | Modelled |
| 06:00 - 07:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 07:00 - 08:00 | Yes (AM peak) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 08:00 - 09:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 09:00 - 10:00 | Yes (Average | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| 10:00 - 11:00 | Inter peak) | 0.0 | 1.0 (all | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 11:00 - 12:00 | | 0.0 | assumed to | 0.0 | (all assumed |
| 12:00 - 13:00 | | 0.0 | occur in 1 | 0.0 | to occur in |
| 13:00 - 14:00 | | 0.0 | hour) | 0.0 | 1 hour) |
| 14:00 - 15:00 | | 0.0 | | 1.0 | |
| 15:00 – 16:00 | No | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 16:00 – 17:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 17:00 – 18:00 | Yes (PM peak) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 18:00 – 19:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 19:00 - 20:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20:00 - 21:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 21:00 - 22:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22:00 - 23:00 | No | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 23:00 - 00:00 | No | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |

Table 8.21 Staff temporal profile – staff tunnel 24hr shift

- 8.6.39 For the purposes of assessment, it has been assumed that there would be up to 480 onsite accommodation spaces available for staff to use. However, for most phases and to ensure robustness in the assessment, an accommodation capacity of 400 spaces has been assumed. If an employee is staying in onsite accommodation it has been assumed that they would not make a commute trip. As such, the approach taken means that the assessment allows for the travel of an additional 80 workers, whereas in reality they would be resident on site, thus not making a commute trip.
- 8.6.40 An assumption has been made that throughout the life of the Project's construction, 35% of the workers would be travelling in to work from their own home. These workers would therefore not require onsite accommodation; only the remaining 65% would be eligible to be offered onsite accommodation.
- 8.6.41 The onsite accommodation would be located at the northern tunnel entrance compound. It is assumed that workers at this compound who are working on the 24hr shift pattern would be given first priority for the accommodation (note that there are four 'gangs' of workers who could take up accommodation, one for each of the three shifts, plus a fourth 'gang' of workers who are on leave but would still require accommodation). Workers at the northern tunnel entrance compound working on the extended and normal daytime shift pattern would be given second and third priority. Any remaining accommodation spaces would then be allocated across all other compounds situated north of the River Thames. Workers south of the River Thames have been assumed to not have access to this accommodation.
- 8.6.42 Table 8.22 shows the number of workers and the assumptions made regarding access to accommodation.

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

| | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | P8 | P9 | P10 | P11 |
|--|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Onsite accommodation spaces | 400 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| 24 hr Shift – total workers (four 'gangs') | 461 | 1,089 | 1,892 | 1,770 | 2,000 | 2,177 | 1,812 | 1,386 | 1,636 | 1,360 | 349 |
| 24 hr Shift – not travelling in from home (65% of above) | 300 | 708 | 1,230 | 1,151 | 1,300 | 1,415 | 1,178 | 901 | 1,063 | 884 | 227 |
| 24 hr shift staying in onsite accommodation | 300 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 227 |
| % 24 hr shift travelling every day | 35% | 56% | 75% | 73% | 80% | 82% | 78% | 71% | 76% | 71% | 35% |
| Remaining onsite accommodation spaces | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 |
| Extended daytime shift workers | 123 | 133 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 23 |
| Extended daytime shift not travelling in from home (65% of above) | 80 | 86 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 15 |
| Extended daytime shift staying in onsite accommodation | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| % Extended daytime shift travelling every day | 35% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 100% | 35% |
| Remaining onsite accommodation spaces | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 |
| Daytime shift | 368 | 442 | 350 | 333 | 325 | 284 | 237 | 216 | 195 | 195 | 122 |
| Daytime shift not travelling in from home (65% of above) | 239 | 287 | 228 | 217 | 211 | 184 | 154 | 140 | 127 | 127 | 79 |
| Daytime shift staying in onsite accommodation | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| % daytime shift travelling every day | 94% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 35% |
| Remaining onsite accommodation spaces | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| Remaining workers north of the River Thames | 649 | 986 | 1083 | 1182 | 1257 | 1225 | 1192 | 982 | 606 | 332 | 189 |
| Remaining workers north of the River Thames not travelling in from home (65% of above) | 422 | 641 | 704 | 769 | 817 | 796 | 775 | 638 | 394 | 216 | 123 |
| Remaining workers north of the River Thames staying in onsite accommodation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| Remaining workers north of River Thames travelling every day | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 58% |

Table 8.22 Onsite accommodation assumptions for the workforce north of the River Thames

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 Transport Assessment | |
|--|--|
| (Tracked changes version) | |

- 8.6.43 As can be seen in Table 8.22, in all but phases 1 and 11, the onsite accommodation is filled up by 24hr shift workers. In phase 1, the accommodation is full after being offered to the extended daytime and daytime shift. In phase 11, there is spare accommodation remaining after being offered to all workers at the northern tunnel entrance compound, which would enable some of the workers at other sites to use this onsite accommodation.
- 8.6.44 The total number of car trips by staff originating and terminating in each construction compound was calculated for each of the LTAM modelled time periods. These trips were then distributed across the rest of the zones in the LTAM to form an origin and destination for each trip. The output from this process was a set of staff trip matrices that was added to the construction traffic and the existing trips to form the Do Something (DS), with construction, trip matrices.

Construction vehicles by phase

8.6.45 Table 8.23 to Table 8.33 provide a summary of the total demand levels for different elements of construction traffic in each phase.

Table 8.23 Construction traffic demand in phase 1 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 1 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----|-------|--|
| | AM | IP | РМ | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 6 | 6 | 6 | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 43 | 43 | 43 | |
| Supplies | 168 | 82 | 82 | |
| Staff | 1,113 | 110 | 1,056 | |
| Total PCUs | 1,329 | 240 | 1,187 | |

Table 8.24 Construction traffic demand in phase 2 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 2 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----|-------|--|
| | AM | IP | PM | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 31 | 31 | 31 | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 53 | 53 | 53 | |
| Supplies | 267 | 130 | 130 | |
| Staff | 1,805 | 360 | 1,591 | |
| Total PCUs | 2,156 | 574 | 1,806 | |

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright © - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Volume 7

Table 8.25 Construction traffic demand in phase 3 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 3 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----|-------|--|
| | AM | IP | PM | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 49 | 49 | 49 | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 80 | 80 | 80 | |
| Supplies | 314 | 153 | 153 | |
| Staff | 2,043 | 584 | 1,548 | |
| Total PCUs | 2,486 | 866 | 1,830 | |

Table 8.26 Construction traffic demand in phase 4 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 4 | Phase 4 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|--|--|
| | AM | IP | РМ | | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 131 | 131 | 131 | | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 94 | 94 | 94 | | |
| Supplies | 332 | 162 | 162 | | |
| Staff | 2,099 | 553 | 1,647 | | |
| Total PCUs | 2,656 | 940 | 2,034 | | |

Table 8.27 Construction traffic demand in phase 5 (PCU/hr)

| Movement Type | Phase 5 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----|-------|--|
| | AM | IP | PM | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 94 | 94 | 94 | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 64 | 64 | 64 | |
| Supplies | 344 | 168 | 168 | |
| Staff | 2,241 | 560 | 1,681 | |
| Total PCUs | 2,743 | 886 | 2,006 | |

Table 8.28 Construction traffic demand in phase 6 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 6 | Phase 6 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|--|--|
| | AM | IP | РМ | | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 253 | 253 | 253 | | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 119 | 119 | 119 | | |
| Supplies | 373 | 182 | 182 | | |
| Staff | 2,215 | 731 | 1,593 | | |
| Total PCUs | 2,961 | 1,285 | 2,148 | | |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Table 8.29 Construction traffic demand in phase 7 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 7 | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|
| | AM | IP | PM |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 154 | 154 | 154 |
| Compound to external earthworks | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Supplies | 382 | 186 | 186 |
| Staff | 2,053 | 633 | 1,497 |
| Total PCUs | 2,664 | 1,048 | 1,912 |

Table 8.30 Construction traffic demand in phase 7 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 8 | Phase 8 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|--|--|
| | AM | IP | PM | | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 85 | 85 | 85 | | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 63 | 63 | 63 | | |
| Supplies | 329 | 160 | 160 | | |
| Staff | 1,589 | 466 | 1,219 | | |
| Total PCUs | 2,066 | 775 | 1,528 | | |

Table 8.31 Construction traffic demand in phase 9 (PCU/hr)

| Movement Type | Phase 9 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----|-----|--|
| | AM | IP | PM | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 26 | 26 | 26 | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 18 | 18 | 18 | |
| Supplies | 245 | 119 | 119 | |
| Staff | 1,270 | 504 | 767 | |
| Total PCUs | 1,560 | 667 | 931 | |

Table 8.32 Construction traffic demand in phase 10 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 10 | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----|-----|--|
| | AM | IP | РМ | |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 43 | 43 | 43 | |
| Compound to external earthworks | 12 | 12 | 12 | |
| Supplies | 186 | 91 | 91 | |
| Staff | 916 | 455 | 509 | |
| Total PCUs | 1,158 | 601 | 655 | |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

Uncontrolled when printed – Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ - 2023 National Highways Limited – all rights reserved

Table 8.33 Construction traffic demand in phase 11 (PCU/hr)

| Movement type | Phase 11 | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----|-----|
| | АМ | IP | РМ |
| Compound to compound earthworks | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Compound to external earthworks | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Supplies | 42 | 21 | 21 |
| Staff | 301 | 109 | 213 |
| Total PCUs | 345 | 131 | 236 |

8.7 Thurrock Flexible Generation Plant demand

- 8.7.1 As noted in Section 8.2, the TFGP is proposed to be constructed in the same timeframe as the Project. There are currently a range of different options for the construction of TFGP as outlined in their Transport Assessment and Environmental Statement (The Planning Inspectorate, 2022).
- 8.7.2 For the purposes of the Project's construction modelling the most impactful scenario was assumed to be the scenario which has construction beginning in Q3 2022 and running in three 18 month phases, with a nine month gap in between each phase. The information detailed below is based on the TFGP Transport Assessment (TFGP TA) for the site, and specifically Section 6 of the TFGP TA (The Planning Inspectorate, 2022).
- 8.7.3 The total HGV traffic generation for a single phase of construction is expected to be on average 40 HGV movements per day, with a peak of up to 80 HGV movements per day. The construction workforce is expected to average 250 full time equivalent (FTE) workers, with a peak of up to 350 people.
- 8.7.4 For the purposes of a robust assessment the following peak worker flows are assumed as shown in Table 8.34.

Table 8.34 TFGP staff volumes

| Vehicle type | People | Vehicles |
|--------------|--------|----------|
| Car | 35 | 35 |
| Minibus | 196 | 13 |
| Coach | 115 | 2 |
| Total | 346 | 50 |

8.7.5 TFGP assumes construction staff typically arrive between 06:00 and 08:00 and leave between 18:00 and 20:00. Given only a single hour is assessed within the LTAM for each peak, it has been assumed that the vehicular flow would be half of the numbers in the 'vehicles' column in Table 8.34. For robustness, the staff departure period was shifted for the purposes of assessment to 17:00 to 19:00 so that TFGP trips would be included in the modelled PM peak. It is assumed that when arriving, cars will stay onsite for the duration of the day, but coaches and minibuses will immediately return after dropping off. Thus, coaches and minibuses would generate trips in each direction, within the arrival or departure periods, but cars would not.

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| Lower Thames Crossing – 7.9 Transport Assessment | |
|--|--|
| (Tracked changes version) | |

- 8.7.6 HGV material movements are assumed at their peak levels, this is eight HGVs per hour throughout the day; after delivering materials, HGVs depart the site, thus there would be 16 two-way HGV trips per hour.
 - 8.7.7 The residential locations of staff working at TFGP, and the source of HGV material deliveries are not specified in the TFGP TA. For the modelling, the distribution of staff is assumed to be the same as for the modelled zone which TFGP sits within. HGV deliveries are assumed to be sourced from the locations shown in Table 8.35 which are the locations that would be used by the Project construction deliveries.

Table 8.35 TFGP HGV delivery sources

| Location | Percentage |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Port of Tilbury | 40 |
| DP World London Gateway | 30 |
| West Purfleet | 10 |
| West Dagenham | 10 |
| North of M25 junction 29 | 5 |
| Northfleet | 5 |

8.7.8 The trip matrices for TFGP were added to the Project's forecast trip matrices for the phases when TFGP construction is assumed to be taking place.

8.8 Impacts on the highway network

Introduction

- 8.8.1 This section of the report summarises the forecast impact of the Project's construction programme in each phase.
- 8.8.2 For each phase a map shows the forecast change in traffic flows, north and south of the River Thames for the AM, inter-peak and PM peaks. The maps show traffic on the haul roads, but these are not part of the public road network.
- 8.8.3 The changes in flow for each phase are also shown on maps as a percentage change in flow. These are presented in Appendix G.
- 8.8.4 A series of journey time routes have been identified in order to monitor the impacts of the Project's construction programme on journey times throughout the Lower Thames area. Plate 8.26 shows these journey time routes spatially and Table 8.36 provides a description of the routes. The journey times for each phase are also tabulated within this section, where the changes are colour coded as green if there is forecast to be a reduction in journey time, orange if the forecast change is between 0.0 and 20.0% and if over 20%, they are coloured red.
- 8.8.5 The impacts on journey times are shown on maps for each modelled time period. These are presented in Appendix H.

Volume 7




Plate 8.26 Journey time routes

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4


| Route | Road | Dir | Distance (km) | Route | Road | Dir | Distance (km) |
|-------|----------------------------|-----|------------------|-------|------------------------------|-----|------------------|
| | M25 | ACW | 38.5 | 45 | B186 | NB | 10.4 |
| 1 | | CW | 38.6 | 15 | | SB | 10.4 |
| - | M2 junction 4 to | EB | 34.4 | | B1421 | EB | 3.3 |
| 2 | A2/ A2018 | WB | 34.2 | 16 | Ockendon Road | WB | 3.3 |
| • | A228: M20 to | NB | 11.8 | 47 | St Marys Lane | EB | 3.3 |
| 3 | Strood | SB | 11.9 | 17 | | WB | 3.3 |
| | A229: M20 to M2 | NB | 6.4 | | A128/ | NB | 12.4 |
| 4 | | SB | 6.8 | 18 | Brentwood Road | SB | 12.3 |
| - | A289 | EB | 5.7 | 40 | Stifford Clays | EB | 4.5 |
| 5 | | WB | 7.0 | 19 | Road | WB | 4.5 |
| | Valley Drive/ | NB | 8.1 | | East Tilbury | EB | 6.0 |
| 6 | Henhurst Road | SB | 8.1 | 20 | Road/ Muckingford Road | WB | 6.0 |
| 7 | A226 | EB | 7.7 | 04 | Rectory Road | NB | 3.4 |
| | | WB | 7.7 | 21 | | SB | 3.4 |
| | Brewers Road/ | NB | 8.4 | | Baker | NB | 3.0 |
| 8 | Halfpence Lane | SB | 8.4 | 22 | Street/Heath Road | SB | 3.0 |
| 9 | Thong Lane | NB | 3.9 | 23 | A126 | NB | 8.5 |
| 9 | | SB | 3.9 | 20 | | SB | 8.5 |
| 40 | A227: M20 to | NB | 15.8 | | Lodge | NB | 4.3 |
| 10 | Gravesend Central junction | SB | 15.8 | 24 | Lane/Wood View | SB | 4.2 |
| 11 | A127 | EB | 25.7 | 25 | A2 (Strood) | EB | 3.6 |
| | | WB | 25.7 | 25 | | WB | 4.9 |
| 12 | A13 | EB | 25.0 | 26 | Whitehill Road | NB | 2.6 |
| | | WB | 24.9 | 20 | | SB | 2.6 |
| 13 | Station Road/Fort | EB | 12.8 | 27 | Hever Court | NB | 4.1 |
| - 13 | Road /A1089 | WB | 12.4 | | Road | SB | 4.1 |
| 14 | A1013 | EB | 9.1 | - 28 | M20 | WB | 32.5 |
| | | WB | 8.8 | | | EB | 32.0 |


Table 8.36 Construction programme modelled journey time routes


Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4


Phase 1

- 8.8.6 The forecast change in traffic flows on the network, as a result of the additional construction related vehicles and the impact of the traffic management measures on the routes chosen by drivers are shown in Plate 8.27 to Plate 8.32. The maps present the change in flows, north and south of the river, for each of the modelled time periods.
- 8.8.7 For all journey time routes where the time changes by more than a minute or more than 10%, in either direction, the with and without construction journey times are shown in Table 8.37 to Table 8.39.





























275

| Route | Road | Dir | Without Construction With | | With Co | nstruction | Difference | fference | | e (%) |
|-------|------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT06 | Valley Drive/Henhurst Road | NB | 10.7 | 45.4 | 12.2 | 39.7 | +1.5 | -5.7 | +14% | -13% |
| | | SB | 11.3 | 42.7 | 12.1 | 39.9 | +0.8 | -2.9 | +7% | -7% |
| JT13 | Station Road/Fort Road/A1089 | EB | 11.9 | 64.2 | 12.5 | 61.0 | +0.6 | -3.2 | +5% | -5% |
| | | WB | 11.1 | 67.1 | 12.8 | 58.1 | +1.7 | -9.0 | +15% | -13% |
| JT16 | B1421 Ockendon Road | EB | 3.8 | 51.4 | 4.7 | 42.1 | +0.8 | -9.3 | +22% | -18% |
| | | WB | 3.8 | 51.5 | 4.6 | 42.7 | +0.8 | -8.7 | +21% | -17% |
| JT18 | A128 / Brentwood Road | NB | 16.2 | 45.7 | 16.8 | 44.1 | +0.6 | -1.6 | +4% | -3% |
| | | SB | 13.6 | 54.0 | 15.1 | 48.6 | +1.5 | -5.4 | +11% | -10% |
| JT19 | Stifford Clays Road | EB | 4.8 | 55.8 | 6.4 | 41.9 | +1.6 | -13.9 | +33% | -25% |
| | | WB | 5.3 | 51.0 | 6.4 | 41.6 | +1.2 | -9.4 | +23% | -18% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.7 | 47.7 | 4.8 | 37.2 | +1.1 | -10.5 | +28% | -22% |
| | | SB | 3.5 | 51.0 | 4.1 | 43.0 | +0.7 | -8.0 | +19% | -16% |
| JT23 | A126 | NB | 16.3 | 31.1 | 18.0 | 28.3 | +1.6 | -2.8 | +10% | -9% |
| | | SB | 16.7 | 30.6 | 18.6 | 27.5 | +1.9 | -3.1 | +11% | -10% |

Table 8.37 Construction impact on journey times (phase 1 AM peak)

| Route | Road | Dir | Without Construction | | With Construction | | Difference | | Difference (%) | |
|-------|----------------------------|-----|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT06 | Valley Drive/Henhurst Road | NB | 10.9 | 44.2 | 12.0 | 40.3 | +1.1 | -3.9 | +10% | -9% |
| | | SB | 10.8 | 44.9 | 11.8 | 41.0 | +1.1 | -4.0 | +10% | -9% |
| JT16 | B1421 Ockendon Road | EB | 3.8 | 51.6 | 4.6 | 43.1 | +0.8 | -8.6 | +20% | -17% |
| | | WB | 3.8 | 51.5 | 4.6 | 42.6 | +0.8 | -9.0 | +21% | -17% |
| JT19 | Stifford Clays Road | EB | 4.8 | 55.7 | 6.3 | 42.3 | +1.5 | -13.4 | +32% | -24% |
| | | WB | 5.0 | 53.6 | 6.0 | 44.7 | +1.0 | -8.9 | +20% | -17% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.4 | 52.5 | 4.2 | 42.7 | +0.8 | -9.8 | +23% | -19% |
| | | SB | 3.3 | 53.3 | 4.0 | 44.7 | +0.6 | -8.6 | +19% | -16% |
| JT23 | A126 | NB | 16.5 | 30.8 | 17.6 | 28.9 | +1.1 | -1.9 | +7% | -6% |
| | | SB | 16.1 | 31.7 | 17.3 | 29.7 | +1.1 | -2.1 | +7% | -6% |

Table 8.38 Construction impact on journey times (phase 1 inter-peak)

| Route | Road | Dir | Without C | onstruction | With Co | nstruction | Difference | | Difference (%) | |
|-------|-----------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT06 | Valley Drive/Henhurst Road | NB | 12.9 | 37.4 | 13.8 | 35.1 | +0.8 | -2.3 | +6% | -6% |
| | | SB | 11.3 | 42.8 | 12.8 | 37.9 | +1.5 | -4.9 | +13% | -11% |
| JT10 | A227: M20 to Gravesend East | NB | 21.8 | 40.4 | 23.1 | 38.0 | +1.3 | -2.3 | +6% | -6% |
| | | SB | 19.2 | 45.4 | 19.7 | 44.3 | +0.5 | -1.2 | +3% | -3% |
| JT15 | B186 | NB | 12.7 | 48.8 | 13.7 | 45.3 | +1.0 | -3.6 | +8% | -7% |
| | | SB | 13.9 | 44.6 | 14.8 | 42.0 | +0.9 | -2.6 | +6% | -6% |
| JT16 | B1421 Ockendon Road | EB | 3.8 | 51.3 | 4.6 | 42.9 | +0.8 | -8.4 | +20% | -16% |
| | | WB | 3.8 | 51.2 | 4.7 | 41.7 | +0.9 | -9.4 | +22% | -18% |
| JT18 | A128 / Brentwood Road | NB | 13.7 | 54.1 | 15.0 | 49.2 | +1.4 | -4.9 | +10% | -9% |
| | | SB | 15.3 | 48.1 | 16.1 | 45.7 | +0.8 | -2.4 | +5% | -5% |
| JT19 | Stifford Clays Road | EB | 5.1 | 53.0 | 6.9 | 39.0 | +1.8 | -14.0 | +36% | -26% |
| | | WB | 5.2 | 51.1 | 6.6 | 40.8 | +1.3 | -10.3 | +25% | -20% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.6 | 49.0 | 4.8 | 37.4 | +1.1 | -11.6 | +31% | -24% |
| | | SB | 4.4 | 40.4 | 5.4 | 32.8 | +1.0 | -7.6 | +23% | -19% |
| JT23 | A126 | NB | 17.8 | 28.6 | 19.3 | 26.4 | +1.5 | -2.2 | +8% | -8% |
| | | SB | 17.5 | 29.2 | 18.6 | 27.5 | +1.1 | -1.7 | +6% | -6% |

Table 8.39 Construction impact on journey times (phase 1 PM peak)

- 8.8.8 The flow difference plots show small changes in total flow in the areas that would be directly impacted by the traffic management and Project related construction traffic. In this phase the predicted differences would generally be small.
- 8.8.9 The contraflow on B186 (RMTM56) would shift traffic from B186 to West Road / Dennis Road. The contraflow on Brentwood Road (RMTM12) would shift traffic to the A1089 and Muckingford Road / Buckingham Road. The contraflow on High Road (RNTM41) and on Stifford Clays Road (RNTM43) would shift traffic to Brentwood Road (North of Orsett Cock) southbound and the A13. The contraflow at Marshfoot Road/Chadwell Hill/Brentwood Road (RNTM05) would cause traffic to divert on to Linford Road and Turnpike Lane. The Valley Drive contraflow (RSTM09) would shift some traffic to Thong Lane.
- 8.8.10 The journey time analysis shows that there would be additional delays forecast on Valley Drive / Henhurst Road (JT06) in both directions in all time periods. These delays would be the result of the introduction of the traffic management measures at the A2 Gravesend East junction (RSTM02 and RSTM03) and the contraflow system on Valley Drive (RSTM09).
- 8.8.11 There would be additional delay along the A227 (JT10) in the northbound direction in the PM peak period. This occurs because of delays at the junction of the A227 and Chalky Bank north of the A2 as a result of the Valley Drive contraflow (RSTM09) causing traffic diverted on to the A227.
- 8.8.12 There would be additional predicted delays along Station Road / Fort Road / A1089 (JT13) in the AM peak hour in the westbound direction. These delays would be the result of a combination of the proposed contraflow systems on Marshfoot Road / Chadwell Hill / Brentwood Road (RNTM05) and an increase in traffic on the A1089 which would cause additional delays, in particular at the Asda Roundabout and at the A1089 Westbound on-slip to the A13. The ASDA roundabout and the A1089 Westbound on-slip are significantly congested in the Do Minimum scenario. Both of these locations would be used by Project construction vehicles and staff to access compounds and to make deliveries. Because the locations are already congested, a relatively small increase in overall traffic leads to a material increase in additional delay.
- 8.8.13 There would be additional delays in all periods along Ockendon Road (JT16), Stifford Clays Road (JT19) and Baker Street / Heath Road (JT22) due to contraflow systems in place on each respective road; the Ockendon Road contraflow (RNTM60), the Stifford Clays Road contraflow (RNTM43) and the Baker Street contraflow (RNTM80).
- 8.8.14 There would be additional delays in all periods along the A126 (JT23) in both directions due to the proposed contraflow system on Marshfoot Road / Chadwell Hill / Brentwood Road (RNTM05).
- 8.8.15 There would be additional delays along the B186 (JT15) in both directions in the PM peak only due to the proposed contraflow system on the B186 (RNTM56).
- 8.8.16 Additional delays would occur along the A128 / Brentwood Road route in the AM and PM peaks (JT18) due to the contraflow at Brentwood Road (RNTM12) and the contraflow at Marshfoot Road / Chadwell Hill / Brentwood Road (RNTM05).

8.8.17 Finally, there would be additional delays along the B186 (JT15) in the PM peak only. This is due to the B186 contraflow (RNTM56).

Phase 2

- 8.8.18 The forecast change in traffic flows on the network, as a result of the additional construction related vehicles and the impact of the traffic management measures on the routes chosen by drivers are shown in Plate 8.33 to Plate 8.38. The maps present the change in flows, north and south of the river, for each of the modelled time periods.
- 8.8.19 For all journey time routes where the time changes by more than a minute or more than 10%, in either direction, the with and without construction journey times are shown in Table 8.40 to Table 8.45.







Plate 8.33 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 2 AM peak

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4












Plate 8.36 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 2 AM peak


Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

284





285





| Route | Road | Dir | Without Construction | | With Construction | | Difference | | Difference (%) | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT07 | JT07 A226 | EB | 9.5 | 48.4 | 10.7 | 43.0 | +1.2 | -5.5 | +13% | -11% |
| | | WB | 11.7 | 39.4 | 12.4 | 37.1 | +0.7 | -2.4 | +6% | -6% |
| JT15 | B186 | NB | 13.6 | 45.7 | 14.7 | 42.4 | +1.1 | -3.3 | +8% | -7% |
| | | SB | 12.5 | 49.5 | 15.0 | 41.5 | +2.4 | -8.1 | +19% | -16% |
| JT17 | St Marys Lane | EB | 4.5 | 44.0 | 5.5 | 35.7 | +1.1 | -8.3 | +24% | -19% |
| | | WB | 4.4 | 44.4 | 5.5 | 35.9 | +1.1 | -8.5 | +24% | -19% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.7 | 47.7 | 4.4 | 40.7 | +0.6 | -7.0 | +17% | -15% |
| | | SB | 3.5 | 51.0 | 3.8 | 47.2 | +0.3 | -3.9 | +8% | -8% |

Table 8.40 Construction impact on journey times (phase 2 AM peak)

Table 8.41 Construction impact on journey times (phase 2 inter-peak)

| Route | Road | Dir | Without Construction | | With Construction | | Difference | | Difference (%) | |
|-------|---------------|-----|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT15 | B186 | NB | 12.3 | 50.7 | 13.4 | 46.4 | +1.1 | -4.3 | +9% | -8% |
| | | SB | 12.5 | 49.9 | 13.7 | 45.2 | +1.3 | -4.7 | +10% | -9% |
| JT17 | St Marys Lane | EB | 4.4 | 44.5 | 5.2 | 38.0 | +0.8 | -6.5 | +17% | -15% |
| | | WB | 4.4 | 44.8 | 5.3 | 37.1 | +0.9 | -7.7 | +21% | -17% |


| Route | Road | Dir Without | | Construction | With Co | onstruction | Differen | ce | Difference (%) | |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT07 | A226 | EB | 11.1 | 41.5 | 11.8 | 39.2 | +0.7 | -2.4 | +6% | -6% |
| | | WB | 9.9 | 46.7 | 10.9 | 42.2 | +1.1 | -4.5 | +11% | -10% |
| JT15 | B186 | NB | 12.7 | 48.8 | 14.8 | 41.9 | +2.1 | -6.9 | +16% | -14% |
| | | SB | 13.9 | 44.6 | 16.0 | 38.9 | +2.0 | -5.7 | +15% | -13% |
| JT17 | St Marys Lane | EB | 4.5 | 44.0 | 5.2 | 37.4 | +0.8 | -6.5 | +18% | -15% |
| | | WB | 4.4 | 44.6 | 5.5 | 35.5 | +1.1 | -9.0 | +25% | -20% |
| JT18 | A128 / Brentwood Road | NB | 13.7 | 54.1 | 15.0 | 49.3 | +1.3 | -4.8 | +10% | -9% |
| | | SB | 15.3 | 48.1 | 16.1 | 45.6 | +0.9 | -2.5 | +6% | -5% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.6 | 49.0 | 4.2 | 42.6 | +0.6 | -6.4 | +15% | -13% |
| | | SB | 4.4 | 40.4 | 5.3 | 33.8 | +0.9 | -6.6 | +19% | -16% |

Table 8.42 Construction impact on journey times (phase 2 PM peak)

- 8.8.20 The flow difference plots show small changes in total flow in the areas directly impacted by the traffic management and additional Project related construction traffic. In this phase the predicted differences are generally very minor. A contraflow on the B186 (RNTM54) would shift traffic from B186 northbound to West Road / Dennis Road. There would be higher flows on the A13 eastbound to the Orsett Cock junction, and westbound to the A1089, both due to construction traffic. A contraflow on A226 Gravesend Road (TUTM02) would shift traffic to the A2 and Lower Higham Road. The traffic increase on Lower Higham Road would be general traffic rerouting rather than Project related cars or HGVs. There would be higher flows on the A2 in both directions. There would also be increases due to Project related traffic on the A13 (M25 - A1012 section) and on Muckingford Road and Station Road. The journey time analysis shows that there would be additional delays on Thong Lane (JT09) in all time periods. These delays would be caused by the introduction of the contraflow system on Thong Lane (A10).
- 8.8.21 There would be additional delays on A226 (JT07) in the AM and PM time periods. These delays are caused by the introduction of the contraflow system on the A226 (TUTM02).
- 8.8.22 There would also be additional delays on the B186 (JT15) in both directions in all time periods. This is caused by the contraflow system proposed on the B186 (RNTM56). Similarly, there would be additional delays on St Mary's Lane (JT17) in both directions in all time periods. These delays are caused primarily by the contraflow system on St Mary's Lane (RMTM68).
- 8.8.23 There would also be delays on the A128/ Brentwood Road (JT18) in the PM peak due to contraflow at junction Heath Road/ Brentwood Road (RNTM05).
- 8.8.24 Also, there would be delays on the Baker Street/Heath Road journey time route (JT22) in the AM and PM peaks. These would be less than a minute, but would contribute more than 10% to the overall journey time on the route. These would be due to the crossing point at Baker Street (RNTM39) plus increased delay at the junction of Baker Street and the A1013.

Phase 3

- 8.8.25 The forecast change in traffic flows on the network, as a result of the additional construction related vehicles and the impact of the traffic management measures on the routes chosen by drivers are shown in Plate 8.39 to Plate 8.44. The maps present the change in flows, north and south of the river, for each of the modelled time periods.
- 8.8.26 For all journey time routes where the time changes by more than a minute or more than 10%, in either direction, the with and without construction journey times are shown in Table 8.43 to Plate 8.48








Plate 8.40 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 3 inter-peak

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4










Plate 8.42 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 3 AM peak

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

293








Plate 8.44 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 3 PM peak

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4

| Route | Road | Dir | Without Co | nstruction | With Con | struction | Differenc | е | Differen | ce (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT01 | M25 | NB | 33.4 | 69.1 | 34.6 | 66.8 | +1.2 | -2.3 | +4% | -3% |
| | | SB | 33.2 | 69.7 | 35.3 | 65.6 | +2.1 | -4.2 | +6% | -6% |
| JT07 | A226 | EB | 9.5 | 48.4 | 10.7 | 43.1 | +1.2 | -5.4 | +12% | -11% |
| | | WB | 11.7 | 39.4 | 12.4 | 37.1 | +0.8 | -2.4 | +6% | -6% |
| JT11 | A127 | EB | 26.1 | 59.0 | 27.4 | 56.3 | +1.2 | -2.7 | +5% | -4% |
| | | WB | 35.8 | 43.0 | 37.4 | 41.1 | +1.6 | -1.9 | +5% | -4% |
| JT13 | Station Road/Fort Road/A1089 | EB | 11.9 | 64.2 | 13.1 | 58.4 | +1.2 | -5.8 | +10% | -9% |
| | | WB | 11.1 | 67.1 | 13.3 | 55.7 | +2.3 | -11.4 | +21% | -17% |
| JT17 | St Marys Lane | EB | 4.5 | 44.0 | 5.3 | 36.9 | +0.9 | -7.1 | +19% | -16% |
| | | WB | 4.4 | 44.4 | 5.5 | 35.8 | +1.1 | -8.6 | +24% | -19% |
| JT20 | East Tilbury Road/Muckingford | EB | 6.8 | 53.4 | 8.2 | 44.2 | +1.4 | -9.2 | +21% | -17% |
| | Road | WB | 6.9 | 52.5 | 8.2 | 44.5 | +1.3 | -8.0 | +18% | -15% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.7 | 47.7 | 4.2 | 42.2 | +0.5 | -5.5 | +13% | -12% |
| | | SB | 3.5 | 51.0 | 3.7 | 48.0 | +0.2 | -3.0 | +6% | -6% |

Table 8.43 Construction impact on journey times (phase 3 AM peak)

| Route | Road | Dir | Without Co | onstruction | With Con | struction | Difference | | Difference (%) | |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT01 | M25 | NB | 29.8 | 77.5 | 30.2 | 76.4 | +0.4 | -1.1 | +1% | -1% |
| | | SB | 26.5 | 87.4 | 28.0 | 82.7 | +1.5 | -4.7 | +6% | -5% |
| JT08 | Brewers Road/ Halfpence Lane | NB | 9.8 | 51.5 | 10.4 | 48.8 | +0.5 | -2.7 | +6% | -5% |
| | | SB | 9.8 | 51.8 | 11.0 | 46.0 | +1.2 | -5.7 | +12% | -11% |
| JT17 | St Marys Lane | EB | 4.4 | 44.5 | 5.2 | 37.7 | +0.8 | -6.8 | +18% | -15% |
| | | WB | 4.4 | 44.8 | 5.3 | 37.0 | +0.9 | -7.8 | +21% | -17% |
| JT20 | East Tilbury Road/Muckingford | EB | 6.7 | 53.8 | 7.7 | 47.3 | +0.9 | -6.4 | +14% | -12% |
| | Road | WB | 6.7 | 54.4 | 7.6 | 47.6 | +1.0 | -6.8 | +15% | -13% |

Table 8.44 Construction impact on journey times (phase 3 inter-peak)

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4


| Route | Road | Dir | Without Co | onstruction | With Con | struction | Differen | се | Differen | ce (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT01 | M25 | NB | 30.0 | 77.0 | 30.4 | 75.8 | +0.4 | -1.2 | +2% | -2% |
| | | SB | 30.4 | 76.2 | 32.9 | 70.5 | +2.5 | -5.7 | +8% | -8% |
| JT07 | A226 | EB | 11.1 | 41.5 | 11.9 | 38.8 | +0.8 | -2.8 | +7% | -7% |
| | | WB | 9.9 | 46.7 | 11.0 | 41.8 | +1.2 | -4.9 | +12% | -10% |
| JT08 | Brewers Road/Halfpence Lane | NB | 10.9 | 46.4 | 11.5 | 44.0 | +0.6 | -2.4 | +5% | -5% |
| | | SB | 9.9 | 51.0 | 11.4 | 44.6 | +1.4 | -6.4 | +14% | -13% |
| JT11 | A127 | EB | 29.7 | 51.9 | 30.5 | 50.5 | +0.8 | -1.4 | +3% | -3% |
| | | WB | 26.9 | 57.2 | 28.2 | 54.6 | +1.3 | -2.6 | +5% | -5% |
| JT12 | A13 | EB | 23.9 | 62.7 | 24.0 | 62.5 | +0.1 | -0.2 | +0% | -0% |
| | | WB | 20.3 | 73.5 | 21.5 | 69.5 | +1.2 | -4.0 | +6% | -5% |
| JT15 | B186 | NB | 12.7 | 48.8 | 13.0 | 47.8 | +0.3 | -1.0 | +2% | -2% |
| | | SB | 13.9 | 44.6 | 15.2 | 40.9 | +1.3 | -3.7 | +9% | -8% |
| JT17 | St Marys Lane | EB | 4.5 | 44.0 | 5.3 | 37.3 | +0.8 | -6.7 | +18% | -15% |
| | | WB | 4.4 | 44.6 | 5.5 | 35.6 | +1.1 | -9.0 | +25% | -20% |
| JT20 | East Tilbury Road/Muckingford | EB | 6.9 | 52.2 | 7.6 | 47.7 | +0.7 | -4.6 | +10% | -9% |
| | Road | WB | 6.7 | 54.2 | 7.7 | 47.4 | +1.0 | -6.8 | +14% | -13% |


Table 8.45 Construction impact on journey times (phase 3 PM peak)


- 8.8.27 The flow difference plots presented in Plate 8.39 to Plate 8.44 show small changes in total flow in the areas directly impacted by the traffic management and Project related construction traffic. Narrow lanes on the M25 northbound and southbound (RNTM61 and RNTM62) would shift traffic away from the motorway with some dispersed to the B186 and A128. There would be higher flows on the A13 eastbound to the Orsett Cock junction, and westbound to A1089 due to Project related traffic and general traffic rerouting. A contraflow on the A226 Gravesend Road (TUTM02) would shift traffic to the A2 and Lower Higham Road. The traffic increase on Lower Higham Road would be a result of general traffic rerouting rather than Project related construction traffic. There would be high volumes of Project related construction traffic on Muckingford Road, Marshfoot Road and Station Road.
- 8.8.28 The journey time analysis presented shows that there would be additional delays on the M25 in all time periods (JT01); this phase includes the introduction of narrow lanes (RNTM61, and RNTM62)
- 8.8.29 There would be additional delays of greater than 10% on the A226 (JT07) in the AM peak hour in the eastbound direction and in the PM peak in the westbound direction. The additional delays are caused by the contraflow on the A226 (TUTM02).
- 8.8.30 There would be additional delays on Brewers Road / Halfpence Lane (JT08) in the inter-peak and PM peak. This is caused by the contraflows on Brewers Road and Park Pale (RSTM28).
- 8.8.31 There would be additional delay along the A127 (JT11) in the AM and PM time period. This occurs due to the introduction of narrow lanes (RNTM74).
- 8.8.32 There would be additional delay along the A13 (JT12) westbound in the PM peak. This is due to Project related construction traffic increasing the flow on the A13 westbound.
- 8.8.33 There would be additional delays predicted along journey time route JT13 Station Road / Fort Road / A1089 in all time periods and both directions. In this phase the contraflow measure on Marshfoot Road / Chadwell Hill / Brentwood Road (RNTM05) is no longer in place. Instead, the additional delay is caused by an increase in traffic on the A1089 (due to Project related construction traffic) which would cause additional delays, in particular at the Asda Roundabout and at the A1089 Westbound on-slip to the A13.
- 8.8.34 There would be additional delays along the B186 (JT15) southbound in the PM peak. This is due to increased traffic along the route diverted from the M25 narrow lanes (RNTM61).
- 8.8.35 There would be additional delays on St Mary's Lane (JT17) in all time periods in both directions. These delays are caused by the contraflow system on St Mary's Lane (RNTM68).
- 8.8.36 There would be additional delays on East Tilbury Road / Muckingford Road (JT20) in both directions in all time periods. These are caused by the introduction of the contraflow on Muckingford Road (RNTM01).
- 8.8.37 There would be additional delays Baker Street/Heat Road (JT22) in the AM peak caused by increased traffic on Heath Road.


Phase 4

- 8.8.38 The forecast change in traffic flows on the network, as a result of the additional construction related vehicles and the impact of the traffic management measures on the routes chosen by drivers are shown in Plate 8.45 to Plate 8.50. The maps present the change in flows, north and south of the river, for each of the modelled time periods.
- 8.8.39 For all journey time routes where the time changes by more than a minute or more than 10%, in either direction, the with and without construction journey times are shown in Plate 8.51 to Plate 8.58.





























| Route | Road | Dir | Without Co | nstruction | With Cons | truction | Differen | се | Differer | ice (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT01 | M25 | NB | 33.4 | 69.1 | 34.6 | 66.6 | +1.3 | -2.5 | +4% | -4% |
| | | SB | 33.2 | 69.8 | 35.4 | 65.5 | +2.2 | -4.3 | +6% | -6% |
| JT11 | A127 | EB | 26.1 | 59.0 | 27.3 | 56.5 | +1.2 | -2.5 | +4% | -4% |
| | | WB | 35.8 | 43.0 | 37.3 | 41.3 | +1.5 | -1.7 | +4% | -4% |
| JT13 | Station Road/Fort Road/A1089 | EB | 11.7 | 65.2 | 12.2 | 62.7 | +0.5 | -2.5 | +4% | -4% |
| | | WB | 11.0 | 67.3 | 12.3 | 60.1 | +1.3 | -7.2 | +12% | -11% |
| JT14 | A1013 | EB | 13.9 | 39.4 | 15.5 | 35.4 | +1.6 | -4.0 | +11% | -10% |
| | | WB | 14.0 | 37.9 | 16.0 | 33.1 | +2.0 | -4.8 | +14% | -13% |
| JT20 | East Tilbury Road/Muckingford | EB | 6.8 | 53.4 | 8.0 | 45.4 | +1.2 | -8.1 | +18% | -15% |
| | Road | WB | 6.9 | 52.6 | 7.8 | 46.6 | +0.9 | -6.0 | +13% | -11% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.7 | 47.7 | 5.4 | 33.0 | +1.7 | -14.7 | +45% | -31% |
| | | SB | 3.5 | 51.1 | 7.0 | 25.3 | +3.5 | -25.7 | +101% | -50% |

Table 8.46 Construction impact on journey times (phase 4 AM peak)

| Route | Road | Dir | Without C | onstruction | With Construction | | Difference | | Difference (%) | |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT01 | M25 | NB | 29.9 | 77.3 | 30.3 | 76.2 | +0.4 | -1.0 | +1% | -1% |
| | | SB | 26.5 | 87.4 | 28.1 | 82.6 | +1.6 | -4.8 | +6% | -6% |
| JT14 | A1013 | EB | 13.1 | 41.7 | 14.2 | 38.7 | +1.1 | -3.1 | +8% | -7% |
| | | WB | 12.7 | 41.7 | 13.8 | 38.3 | +1.1 | -3.4 | +9% | -8% |
| JT20 | East Tilbury Road/Muckingford | EB | 6.7 | 53.8 | 7.5 | 48.4 | +0.8 | -5.4 | +11% | -10% |
| | Road | WB | 6.7 | 54.4 | 7.5 | 48.1 | +0.9 | -6.3 | +13% | -12% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.4 | 52.5 | 4.9 | 36.5 | +1.5 | -16.1 | +44% | -31% |
| | | SB | 3.3 | 53.3 | 5.6 | 31.5 | +2.3 | -21.8 | +69% | -41% |

Table 8.47 Construction impact on journey times (phase 4 inter-peak)


| Route | Road | Dir | Without | Construction | With Co | nstruction | Differen | nce | Difference | (%) |
|-------|-------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) |
| JT01 | M25 | NB | 30.0 | 77.1 | 30.6 | 75.5 | +0.6 | -1.6 | +2% | -2% |
| | | SB | 30.4 | 76.3 | 32.9 | 70.3 | +2.6 | -5.9 | +8% | -8% |
| JT11 | A127 | EB | 29.7 | 51.9 | 30.3 | 51.0 | +0.6 | -0.9 | +2% | -2% |
| | | WB | 26.9 | 57.2 | 28.2 | 54.6 | +1.3 | -2.7 | +5% | -5% |
| JT12 | A13 | EB | 23.9 | 62.8 | 24.2 | 62.0 | +0.3 | -0.8 | +1% | -1% |
| | | WB | 20.3 | 73.6 | 21.7 | 68.9 | +1.4 | -4.7 | +7% | -6% |
| JT14 | A1013 | EB | 15.1 | 36.2 | 17.2 | 31.9 | +2.0 | -4.3 | +13% | -12% |
| | | WB | 13.4 | 39.6 | 15.5 | 34.2 | +2.1 | -5.4 | +16% | -14% |
| JT20 | East Tilbury | EB | 6.9 | 52.3 | 7.5 | 48.7 | +0.5 | -3.6 | +7% | -7% |
| | Road/Muckingford Road | WB | 6.7 | 54.2 | 7.7 | 47.4 | +1.0 | -6.8 | +14% | -13% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.6 | 49.2 | 6.6 | 26.9 | +3.0 | -22.2 | +82% | -45% |
| | | SB | 4.4 | 40.5 | 6.9 | 26.0 | +2.5 | -14.5 | +56% | -36% |

Table 8.48 Construction impact on journey times (phase 4 PM peak)

- 8.8.40 The flow difference plots presented in Plate 8.45 to Plate 8.50 show small changes in total flow in the areas directly impacted by the traffic management and Project related construction traffic. In this phase narrow lanes on the M25 northbound and southbound (RNTM61 and RNTM62), combined with narrow lanes on the A127 (RNTM74) would shift traffic away from the motorway with some dispersed to the B186 and A128. The Ockendon Road closure (RNTM58) would cause traffic to divert Pike Lane and St. Marys Lane. The contraflow on the A1013 (RNTM23) would divert traffic to the A13 and Brentwood Road. There are higher flows on the A2 Eastbound direction due to Project related construction traffic. There would be increased Project related construction traffic on Muckingford Road, Marshfoot Road and Station Road. The A226 (A289-Thong Lane section) also has increased traffic due to the Project's construction.
- 8.8.41 The journey time analysis shows that there would be additional delays on the M25 (JT01) in all time periods particularly in the southbound direction as a result of the narrows lanes on the M25 southbound and the associated speed reduction to 60mph (RNTM64).
- 8.8.42 There would be additional delay along the A127 (JT11) in the westbound direction in the AM and PM time periods. This occurs due to the introduction of narrow lanes (RNTM74).
- 8.8.43 There would be additional delay on the A13 westbound (JT12) in the PM time periods as a result of additional traffic from Project construction along the A13 westbound.
- 8.8.44 There would be additional delay on Station Road/Fort Road/A1089 (JT13) in the AM period, due to an increase in traffic on the A1089 which would cause additional delays, in particular at the Asda Roundabout and at the A1089 westbound on-slip to the A13.
- 8.8.45 There would be additional delay on the A1013 (JT14) in all time periods in both directions as a result of the contraflow on the A1013 (RNTM23).
- 8.8.46 There would be additional delays on East Tilbury Road / Muckingford Road (JT20) in both directions in all time periods. This is caused by the contraflow on Muckingford Road (RNTM01).
- 8.8.47 There would be additional delays on Baker Street / Heath Road (JT22) in both directions in all time periods, due to increased flow on Heath Road.

Phase 5

- 8.8.48 The forecast change in traffic flows on the network, as a result of the additional construction related vehicles and the impact of the traffic management measures on the routes chosen by drivers are shown in Plate 8.51 to Plate 8.61. The maps present the change in flows, north and south of the River Thames, for each of the modelled time periods
- 8.8.49 For all journey time routes where the time changes by more than a minute or more than 10%, in either direction, the with and without construction journey times are shown in Table 8.49 to Table 8.51.






Plate 8.52 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 5 inter-peak

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4




Plate 8.53 Change in flow (PCUs), north of the River Thames, phase 5 PM peak

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4







Plate 8.54 Change in flow (PCUs), south of the River Thames, phase 5 AM peak


Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010032 Application Document Ref: TR010032/APP/7.9 DATE: September 2023 DEADLINE: 4





315





| Route | Road | Dir | Without Co | onstruction | With Cor | struction | Difference |) | Differen | ce (%) |
|-------|------------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT01 | M25 | NB | 33.4 | 69.1 | 35.8 | 64.4 | +2.4 | -4.7 | +7% | -7% |
| | | SB | 33.2 | 69.7 | 35.5 | 65.3 | +2.3 | -4.4 | +7% | -6% |
| JT11 | A127 | EB | 26.1 | 59.0 | 27.3 | 56.5 | +1.1 | -2.5 | +4% | -4% |
| | | WB | 35.8 | 43.0 | 37.4 | 41.2 | +1.6 | -1.8 | +4% | -4% |
| JT13 | Station Road/Fort Road/A1089 | EB | 11.9 | 64.2 | 12.2 | 62.6 | +0.3 | -1.5 | +3% | -2% |
| | | WB | 11.1 | 67.1 | 12.3 | 60.1 | +1.3 | -6.9 | +11% | -10% |
| JT14 | A1013 | EB | 13.9 | 39.4 | 15.8 | 34.6 | +1.9 | -4.8 | +14% | -12% |
| | | WB | 14.0 | 37.8 | 16.1 | 32.9 | +2.1 | -4.9 | +15% | -13% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.7 | 47.7 | 4.3 | 44.2 | +0.6 | -3.5 | +16% | -7% |
| | | SB | 3.5 | 51.0 | 4.4 | 43.1 | +0.9 | -7.9 | +27% | -16% |

Table 8.49 Construction impact on journey times (phase 5 AM peak)

| Route | Road | Dir | Without Construction | | With Construction | | Difference | | Difference (%) | |
|-------|-------------------------|-----|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| JT01 | M25 | NB | 29.8 | 77.5 | 31.2 | 74.0 | +1.4 | -3.5 | +5% | -4% |
| | | SB | 26.5 | 87.4 | 28.1 | 82.6 | +1.5 | -4.8 | +6% | -5% |
| JT14 | A1013 | EB | 13.1 | 41.7 | 14.4 | 38.1 | +1.3 | -3.6 | +10% | -9% |
| | | WB | 12.7 | 41.7 | 13.9 | 38.0 | +1.3 | -3.8 | +10% | -9% |
| JT22 | Baker Street/Heath Road | NB | 3.4 | 52.5 | 4.1 | 47.1 | +0.7 | -5.5 | +20% | -10% |
| | | SB | 3.3 | 53.3 | 4.2 | 45.5 | +0.9 | -7.8 | +26% | -15% |

Table 8.50 Construction impact on journey times (phase 5 inter-peak)

| Route | Road | Dir | Without Co | onstruction | With Cons | struction | Difference | • | Differen | ce (%) |
|-------|-------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|
| | | | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time (mins) | Av Speed (km/h) | Time | Av Speed |
| 1704 | M25 | NB | 30.0 | 77.0 | 31.6 | 72.9 | +1.7 | -4.0 | +6% | -5% |
| JT01 | WI25 | SB | 30.4 | 76.2 | 33.0 | 70.3 | +2.6 | -6.0 | +9% | -8% |
| 1744 | A107 | EB | 29.7 | 51.9 | 30.2 | 51.0 | +0.5 | -0.9 | +2% | -2% |
| JT11 | A127 | WB | 26.9 | 57.2 | 28.2 | 54.5 | +1.3 | -2.7 | +5% | -5% |
| JT12 | A13 | EB | 23.9 | 62.7 | 24.3 | 61.7 | +0.4 | -1.1 | +2% | -2% |
| 3112 | AIS | WB | 20.3 | 73.5 | 21.6 | 69.0 | +1.3 | -4.5 | +6% | -6% |
| JT14 | A1013 | EB | 15.1 | 36.2 | 17.0 | 32.2 | +1.9 | -4.0 | +12% | -11% |
| 5114 | AIUIS | WB | 13.4 | 39.6 | 15.2 | 34.7 | +1.9 | -4.9 | +14% | -12% |
| 1724 | Bostony Bood | NB | 3.3 | 60.9 | 3.7 | 55.4 | +0.3 | -5.5 | +10% | -9% |
| JT21 | Rectory Road | SB | 3.3 | 60.9 | 3.5 | 57.9 | +0.2 | -3.1 | +6% | -5% |
| JT22 | Bakar Street/Heath Boad | NB | 3.6 | 49.0 | 4.3 | 44.6 | +0.7 | -4.4 | +18% | -9% |
| 5122 | Baker Street/Heath Road | SB | 4.4 | 40.4 | 4.9 | 38.9 | +0.5 | -1.5 | +11% | -4% |

Table 8.51 Construction impact on journey times (phase 5 PM peak)

- 8.8.50 The flow difference plots show the changes in total flow in the areas that would be directly impacted by the traffic management and Project related construction traffic. In this phase narrow lanes and a 60mph speed restriction would be introduced on the M25 over a 5-6km stretch in both directions (RNTM65 and RNTM64). This would lead to a reduction in flow on the M25 in this section. Narrow lanes on the A127 (RNTM74) and a 50mph speed restriction would also significantly reduces traffic on A127 around the M25/A127 junction. These would shift traffic away from the motorway with some dispersed to the B186 and A128.
- 8.8.51 The Ockendon Road closure (RNTM58) would cause traffic to divert to Pike Lane and St. Marys Lane. The contraflow on the A1013 (RNTM23) would divert traffic to the A13 and Brentwood Road. There would be higher flows on the A2 eastbound due to Project related construction traffic. There would also be some changes in flows at the A2 Brewers Road / Thong Lane westbound slip roads due to permanent closures and switchovers at this location. There would be Project related construction traffic on Muckingford Road, Marshfoot Road, Station Road and the A226 causing flow increases at those locations.
- 8.8.52 The journey time analysis shows that there would be additional delays on the M25 (JT01) in each time period and in both directions. This is a result of the narrow lanes and 60 mph speed limit on the M25 in both directions (RNTM64 and RNTM65).
- 8.8.53 There would be additional delay along the A127 (JT11) in the westbound direction in the AM and PM time periods. This occurs due to the introduction of narrow lanes (RNTM74).
- 8.8.54 There would be additional delay on the A13 westbound (JT12) in the PM peak as a result of additional traffic from the Project construction along the A13 westbound.
- 8.8.55 There would be additional delay on Station Road/Fort Road/A1089 (JT13) in the AM peak, due to an increase in traffic on the A1089 which would cause additional delays, in particular at the Asda Roundabout and at the A1089 Westbound on-slip to the A13.
- 8.8.56 There would also be additional delays on the A1013 (JT14) in both directions in all time periods. This is caused by the contraflow system proposed on the A1013 (RNTM23).
- 8.8.57 There would also be additional delays on Rectory Road (JT21) northbound in the PM peak, albeit this 10% increase in journey time is equivalent to only 20 seconds.
- 8.8.58 There would also be additional delays on Baker Street / Heath Road (JT22) in both directions in all time periods. These are caused by the contraflow on the A1013 (RNTM23).

If you need help accessing this or any other National Highways information, please call **0300 123 5000** and we will help you.

© Crown copyright 2023

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence:

visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/opengovernment-licence/

write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

Mapping (where present): © Crown copyright and database rights 2023 OS 100030649. You are permitted to use this data solely to enable you to respond to, or interact with, the organisation that provided you with the data. You are not permitted to copy, sub-licence, distribute or sell any of this data to third parties in any form.

If you have any enquiries about this publication email info@nationalhighways.co.uk or call 0300 123 5000*.

Calls to 03 numbers cost no more than a national rate call to an 01 or 02 number and must count towards any nclusive minutes in the same way as 01 and 02 calls.

These rules apply to calls from any type of line including mobile, BT, other fixed line or payphone. Calls may be recorded or monitored.

Printed on paper from well-managed forests and other controlled sources when issued directly by National Highways.

Registered office Bridge House, 1 Walnut Tree Close, Guildford GU1 4LZ

National Highways Limited registered in England and Wales number 09346363